



Engineering the Surface/Interface Structures of Titanium Dioxide Micro and Nano Architectures towards Environmental and Electrochemical Applications

Wang, Xiaoliang; Zhao, Yanyan; Mølhave, Kristian; Sun, Hongyu

Published in:
Nanomaterials

Link to article, DOI:
[10.3390/nano7110382](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano7110382)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Wang, X., Zhao, Y., Mølhave, K., & Sun, H. (2017). Engineering the Surface/Interface Structures of Titanium Dioxide Micro and Nano Architectures towards Environmental and Electrochemical Applications. *Nanomaterials*, 7(11), [382]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano7110382>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Review

Engineering the Surface/Interface Structures of Titanium Dioxide Micro and Nano Architectures towards Environmental and Electrochemical Applications

Xiaoliang Wang ¹, Yanyan Zhao ², Kristian Mølhave ^{3,*} and Hongyu Sun ^{3,*} ¹ College of Science, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang 050018, China; wxlsr@126.com² Department of Chemistry Boston College Merkert Chemistry Center, 2609 Beacon St., Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA; zhaogh@bc.edu³ Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby 2800, Denmark

* Correspondence: kristian.molhave@nanotech.dtu.dk (K.M.); hsun@nanotech.dtu.dk (H.S.); Tel.: +45-45-25-68-40 (H.S.)

Received: 30 September 2017; Accepted: 6 November 2017; Published: 9 November 2017

Abstract: Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) materials have been intensively studied in the past years because of many varied applications. This mini review article focuses on TiO₂ micro and nano architectures with the prevalent crystal structures (anatase, rutile, brookite, and TiO₂(B)), and summarizes the major advances in the surface and interface engineering and applications in environmental and electrochemical applications. We analyze the advantages of surface/interface engineered TiO₂ micro and nano structures, and present the principles and growth mechanisms of TiO₂ nanostructures via different strategies, with an emphasis on rational control of the surface and interface structures. We further discuss the applications of TiO₂ micro and nano architectures in photocatalysis, lithium/sodium ion batteries, and Li–S batteries. Throughout the discussion, the relationship between the device performance and the surface/interface structures of TiO₂ micro and nano structures will be highlighted. Then, we discuss the phase transitions of TiO₂ nanostructures and possible strategies of improving the phase stability. The review concludes with a perspective on the current challenges and future research directions.

Keywords: titanium dioxide; crystal structure; surface/interface structure; photocatalysis; lithium/sodium ion batteries; Li–S batteries; phase stability

1. Introduction

Environment and energy are important factors, which affect the sustainable development of the society. Clean energy techniques and environmental treatment solutions based on advanced nanomaterials, which are earth abundant and environmentally compatible show the potential to solve the crisis. Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is such a material that satisfies the criteria [1,2]. As an important and widely used wide bandgap (3.0–3.2 eV) oxide semiconductor, TiO₂ shows unique physical and chemical properties [3]. The applications of TiO₂ materials range from conventional fields (cosmetic, paint, pigment, etc.) to functional devices, such as photo- or electrocatalysis, photoelectrochemical or photovoltaic cells, lithium/sodium ion batteries, Li–S batteries, and biotechnological applications [4–13].

There are at least 11 reported bulk or nanocrystalline phases of TiO₂. In nature, TiO₂ forms four main phases: rutile, anatase, brookite, and TiO₂(B). The crystal models of the four structures are illustrated in Figure 1. All of these TiO₂ phases can be seen as constructed by Ti–O octahedral

units. The main structural difference is the connecting ways of the basic Ti–O octahedral repetitive units. For instance, octahedra shares two, three, and four edges in rutile, brookite, and anatase phase, respectively. In TiO₂(B) phase, the Ti–O octahedral connection is similar to the anatase one, but with a different arrangement that shows layer character [14]. Under the condition of normal temperature and atmospheric pressure, the relative stability of bulk phase is rutile > brookite > anatase > TiO₂(B) [15]. However, this stability order can be changed by ambient condition and sample properties (particle size, morphology, surface state, etc.). The four TiO₂ phases can be distinguished by using diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, or electrochemical techniques. Due to the structural difference, these TiO₂ phases each have their specific applications. Therefore, it is important to study the phase transformation among different phases and develop methods to improve the phase stability [16–18].




Figure 1. Crystal structures of typical TiO₂ polymorphs: (a) rutile; (b) brookite; (c) anatase; and (d) TiO₂(B). Gray and red spheres are Ti⁴⁺ and O²⁻ ions, respectively.

For a given TiO₂ phase, size and morphology play important roles in the energy conversion and storage. In this regard, TiO₂ nanostructures with well controlled geometric dimension and morphology, such as nanoflowers [19–21], inverse opal- [22–27], urchin- [28–30], and dandelion-like [31–33] structures, have been successfully explored. Besides those geometric parameters, the surface and interface structures are also responsible for the applications mentioned above [12,13,34]. Photo- or electrocatalysis requires the effective adsorption and desorption of reactant molecules/ions and intermediate products on the surface of TiO₂ photocatalysts [35–38]. The ions transportation is occurred across the surface or interface of TiO₂ electrodes during the continuous charging and discharging processes in lithium/sodium ion batteries [39]. Adjusting the interaction between sulfur cathodes and the surface of TiO₂ host is important to improve the cycle stability of Li–S batteries with a higher capacity than those of lithium ion batteries [40]. Therefore, engineering the surface/interface structures of TiO₂ crystals is not only fundamentally important for studying the essential interaction between molecules or ions and TiO₂, but is also valuable to the technical applications [41,42].

In this paper, we summarize the most recent progress in engineering the surface/interface structures of TiO₂ micro and nano structures for the applications in environment and electrochemistry. The article is organized as follows: Section 2 analyzes the benefits of surface/interface engineered TiO₂ micro and nano structures; Section 3 reviews the main strategies used for surface/interface engineering in TiO₂ materials; Section 4 evaluates the advantages and different application of surface/interface engineering in the context of photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants, water-splitting, CO₂ reduction, antimicrobial and self-cleaning, electrodes for lithium/sodium ion batteries, and Li–S batteries; Section 5 discusses the phase stability of typical TiO₂ structures, and the possible routes to improve the stability; and, finally, we will provide our perspective on the current challenges and important research directions in the future.

2. Advantages of Surface/Interface Engineered TiO₂ Micro and Nano Structures

When compared to the TiO₂ materials in bulk form or other nanostructures, the surface/interface engineered TiO₂ micro and nano structures are promising to transcend the difficulties in photocatalysis

and energy storage applications. The benefits of TiO₂ materials with well controlled surface and interface structures are briefly summarized as follows.

(1) Large specific surface area. The surface area of TiO₂ materials plays an important role in their photocatalytic activity and ion storage ability. Firstly, large surface area can increase the contact area with electrolyte, and thus the amount of active reaction sites for photocatalytic applications. Secondly, the high surface area of TiO₂ electrodes is also favorable for the storing more ions.

(2) Tunable band structure and bandgap. The electronic structure of TiO₂ materials can be tuned by engineering surface and interface configurations. Due to the intrinsic limitations of the wide bandgap in bulk form, the practical use of pristine TiO₂ materials in the fields of photocatalysis is hampered. Only ultraviolet (UV) light (<5% of the full solar spectrum) can activate the TiO₂ photocatalysts. By employing surface modification via defect generation, doping, or interface formation, the band structure and the bandgap value of various TiO₂ materials can be adjusted, making it possible to achieve efficient and durable visible light photocatalysis [5–13].

(3) Improved electronic and ionic conductivity. The modulated band structure and bandgap in TiO₂ materials generate additional state within the forbidden band, which facilitates the fast transport of ionic and electronic species, and are important for the rapid migration, transport, and recombination of carriers for catalysis, and high rate battery applications.

(4) Optimized interaction between reactant molecules/ions, intermediate products, and the surface of TiO₂ materials. The binding of species on the engineered TiO₂ surface can be adjusted. It is important to improve the catalytic activity and selectivity, and promote electrochemical performance for novel energy storage device, such as Li–S batteries.

3. Strategies in Surface/Interface Engineering of TiO₂ Micro and Nano Structures

The above discussion shows that surface and interface structures in TiO₂ materials are related to the electronic/optical properties and thus diverse applications ranging from energy to environment. So far, different methods have been proposed to control the surface and interface configurations for TiO₂ micro and nano structures [43–45]. Among the methods, a primary classification can be made by distinguishing physical and chemical methods, which are based on top-down and bottom-up approaches, respectively. There are several excellent reviews describing the specific synthesis methods (such as self-assembly, template, hydrothermal, solvothermal, annealing, electrochemical method, etc.) to control the surface/interface structures [5,34,46]. In this paper, we avoid describing the different synthesis methods, but discuss fundamental strategies, including one-step (sometimes called in-situ) methods, post treatment, and theoretical guidance, those are used to engineer the surface/interface structures.

3.1. One-Step Approach

In order to modify the surface/interface structures via the one-step approach, understanding the nucleation and further growth is essential. Up to now, solution-based and vapor-based approaches have been developed to control the nucleation and growth, and different mechanisms including vapor–liquid–solid, orientation attachment, Ostwald ripening, surfactant-controlled, and growth by surface reaction limitation have been proposed, which have been reviewed elsewhere [5,34,46].

Richter et al. [47] fabricated aligned TiO₂ nanotube arrays by the oxidation of a titanium foil in hydrofluoric acid solution (0.5–3.5 wt %). Electron microscopy images showed that the tubes were open on the tops and were closed on the bottoms. The average tube diameter grew with the increasing of voltage, while the length was independent on reaction time. Field-enhanced void structure was responsible for the tube formation. By suitable choice of the pH value, electrolytes and the Ti sources, the geometry and composition of the nanotube arrays can be controlled more precisely (Figure 2).

Penn et al. [48] proposed that some TiO₂ nanostructures could be formed in solution through the route of oriented attachment, where the merger of nanocrystals is based on orientations of each nanoscale crystal to form single crystalline structure. Experiment and simulations showed that the

driving force of an oriented attachment was the reduction of the total surface energy contributed by the removal of certain crystal facets with a high surface energy. The kinetic behaviors of the oriented attachment growth was directly related to the solution properties and reaction temperature. Therefore, it is possible to control the surface/interface properties of the final TiO₂ nanostructures by modifying the crystal facets of the pristine nanocrystals, as well as solution viscosity and others.




Figure 2. Engineering the surface/interface structures in TiO₂ materials via one step approach. (a) Cross section and (b) front view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of amorphous TiO₂ nanotube arrays fabricated by anodic oxidation. Reproduced with permission from [47], Copyright Nature Publishing Group, 2010.

3.2. Post Treatment Routes

Based on the well-established top-down and bottom-up strategies, the synthesis of TiO₂ micro and nano structures with controllable parameters, such as size, morphology, composition, as well as assembly, can be achieved. Those TiO₂ materials with well-defined geometry and chemistry provide abundant possibilities to further tune the atomic scale structures. Therefore, different post-treatment techniques, including thermal annealing, laser irradiation, electrochemical cycling, and solution reaction, have been developed to yield TiO₂ materials with modified surface and interface structures [49–55].

By employing high pressure (~20 bar) hydrogen annealing treatment, Chen et al. [49] successfully converted the pristine white TiO₂ nanoparticles into black hydrogenated particles (Figure 3a–e). The color change indicated that the optical absorption properties had been modified through the treatment. Further structural characterizations showed that the obtained black TiO₂ nanoparticles possessed crystalline core/amorphous shell structure. The surface layer with disordered feature was due to hydrogen dopant, leading to the formation of hydrogen related bonds (such as Ti–H, O–H). Such hydrogen dopant induced surface modification also generates midgap states, and thus makes the color of the sample as black. Similar to the case of hydrogen treatment, annealing in oxygen deficient atmosphere also results in the effective modification of the surface/interface structures. Huang et al. [50] reported a facile solution reaction, followed by nickel ions assisted ethylene thermolysis to synthesize rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles. The surface of each nanoparticle was etched to form pits with an average size of 2–5 nm (quantum pits). Based on the characterizations, they proposed a possible formation mechanism for the quantum pits. Thanks to the ethylene thermolysis during annealing, a carbon layer was formed on the surface of TiO₂ nanoparticles. The carbon layer then reacted with trace Cl₂ in the chamber, inducing the etching of TiO₂ locally based on the reaction: $\text{TiO}_2 + 2\text{C} + 2\text{Cl}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{TiCl}_4 + 2\text{CO}$. The microstructure of the rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles is very unique. The abundant quantum-sized pits on the surface generate defect structures and unsaturated bonds, which are important for improving the conductivity and ion storage. Laser irradiation in liquids is also an useful method to modify the surface and interface of different TiO₂ nanostructures [56,57]. During the experiment, laser wavelength, laser energy, irradiation time, and the solution that is employed can be chosen to control the surface structure [58], bandgap, and even phase transformation [59]. In a recent work shown by Filice et al. [58], under-coordinated Ti ions

and distorted lattice were formed on the surface of TiO_2 nanoparticles upon laser irradiation, which were important in the modification of the physical and chemical properties. Recently, electrochemical cycling in different mediums (aqueous, organic solution, and ionic liquids) have been used to modify the surface composition, as well as microstructure of TiO_2 materials. The results show that the surface defect structures, especially oxygen vacancies, and their amount can be controlled by adjusting the electrochemical conditions.




Figure 3. Post treatment route to tune the surface/interface structures in TiO_2 materials. (a) A photo comparing unmodified white and disorder-engineered black TiO_2 nanocrystals; (b,c) High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images of TiO_2 nanocrystals before and after hydrogenation, respectively. In (c), a short dashed curve is applied to outline a portion of the interface between the crystalline core and the disordered outer layer (marked by white arrows) of black TiO_2 ; (d,e) X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Raman spectra of the white and black TiO_2 nanocrystals (reprinted from [49] with permission, Copyright American Association for the Advancement of Science, 2011). (f) Schematic and (g–l) electron microscopy images of mesoporous single-crystal nucleation and growth within a mesoporous template. (g) Pristine silica template made up of quasi-close-packed silica beads; (h) non-porous truncated bipyramidal TiO_2 crystal; (i) template-nucleated variant of the crystal type shown in (h); (j) replication of the mesoscale pore structure within the templated region; (k,l) fully mesoporous TiO_2 crystals grown by seeded nucleation in the bulk of the silica template. (Reproduced with permission from [44], Copyright Nature Publishing Group, 2013).

Template assistance is also effective to control the surface/interface of TiO_2 micro and nano configurations. Crossland et al. [44] developed a mesoporous single-crystal anatase TiO_2 based on seed-mediated nucleation and growth inside of a mesoporous template (Figure 3f). In a typical process, silica template was firstly seeded by pre-treatment in a solution of TiCl_4 at 70°C for 60 min. The anatase TiO_2 mesoporous single-crystal was obtained via hydrothermal reaction of TiF_4 , with the addition of hydrofluoric acid and pre-treated silica template. The template was then removed by adding aqueous NaOH solution to recover the mesoporous TiO_2 crystals. The final product reveals facet truncated bipyramidal crystals with external symmetry matching that of the homogeneously nucleated bulk crystals, whose mesoscale structure is a negative replica of the silica template. Compared to the conventional TiO_2 nanocrystalline, the TiO_2 mesoporous single-crystal shows a higher conductivity and electron mobility.

3.3. Theoretical Guidance

With the rapid development of modern calculation and simulation, computational material methods based on diverse scale, such as finite element, large scale molecular dynamics (MD) simulation, and density functional theory (DFT) are becoming more and more powerful to provide fundamental

insights into experimental results, and more importantly, design and predict the performance of novel functional materials. With the assistance of theoretical methods, it is possible to understand the nucleation, growth, surface properties in liquid and gas environment, which is important to realize controllable synthesis and optimize physical/chemical properties of the nanomaterials [60–62].

The equilibrium morphology of a crystal is given by the standard Wulff construction, which depends on the surface/interface properties. Barnard and Curtiss investigated the effects of surface chemistry on the morphology of TiO₂ nanoparticles by using a thermodynamic model based on surface free energies and surface tensions obtained from DFT calculations. In the condition of hydrated, hydrogen-rich, and hydrogenated surfaces, the shape of anatase and rutile nanoparticles vary little, however, in the case of hydrogen-poor and oxygenated surfaces, the anatase and rutile nanocrystals become elongated. The results show that the exposed facets of the TiO₂ nanocrystals can be controlled through modifying the surface acid-base chemistry.

Besides the acid-base condition, heterogeneous atoms or surfactant adsorption can also affect the surface and interface structures. Based on DFT calculations, Yang et al. [43] systematically studied the adsorption of a wide range of heterogeneous non-metallic atoms X (X = H, B, C, N, O, F, Si, P, S, Cl, Br, or I) on {001} and {101} facets of anatase TiO₂ crystals (Figure 4). The results show that the adsorption of F atoms not only decreases the surface energy for both the (001) and (101) surfaces, but also results in the fact that (001) surfaces are more stable than (101) surfaces, i.e., the F adsorption is favorable for the formation of (001) facets in anatase TiO₂. The theoretical results inspire intense studies on the surface structure control of TiO₂ crystals. Experimentally, a mixture containing titanium tetrafluoride (TiF₄) aqueous solution and hydrofluoric acid was hydrothermally reacted, to generate the truncated anatase bipyramids, and anatase TiO₂ single crystals with a high percentage of {001} facets were obtained.




Figure 4. Theoretical calculation guides the modification of surface/interface structures. (a–f) Slab models and calculated surface energies of anatase TiO₂ (001) and (101) surfaces. (a,b) Unrelaxed, clean (001) and (101) surfaces; (c,d) Unrelaxed (001) and (101) surfaces surrounded by adsorbate X atoms; (e) Calculated energies of the (001) and (101) surfaces surrounded by X atoms; and, (f) Plots of the optimized value of B/A and percentage of {001} facets for anatase single crystals with various adsorbate atoms X. Here, the parameters of A and B are the lengths of the side of the bipyramid and the side of the square {001} “truncation” facets (see the geometric model). The value of B/A describes the area ratio of reactive {001} facets to the total surface. (g,h) SEM images and statistical data for the size and truncation degree of anatase single crystals (Reproduced with permission from [43], Copyright Nature Publishing Group, 2008).

4. Applications of Surface/Interface Engineered TiO₂ Micro and Nano Structures

Surface and interface structures of TiO₂ materials play important roles in multiple physical/chemical processes. Herein, we will highlight the recent progress in the research activities on the surface/interface engineered TiO₂ micro and nano structures that are used for photocatalysis (including photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants, photocatalytic hydrogen evolution,

photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, antimicrobial, and self-cleaning), lithium/sodium ion batteries, and Li-S batteries.

4.1. Photocatalysis

There are four main steps involved in heterogeneous photocatalysis process (Figure 5a): (1) light absorption; (2) the generation and separation of photoexcited electrons and holes; (3) the migration, transport, and recombination of carriers; and, (4) surface catalytic reduction and oxidation reactions. The overall photocatalysis efficiency is strongly dependent on the cumulative effects of these four consecutive steps. Among different photocatalyst materials, TiO₂ is considered to be a remarkable photocatalyst due to the notable merits such as nontoxicity, biological compatibility, and universality. Since the photocatalytic reaction is a surface or interface sensitive process, control of the surface/interface structures in TiO₂ materials provides a possible way to improve the light absorption and visible light usage, and facilitate the carrier separation, resulting in enhanced photocatalytic properties. Many attempts have been carried out to modify the surface or interface structures of TiO₂ materials, such as exposed crystallographic plane tuning, defect engineering, interface construction, and so on (Figure 5b–d). In the following, we will discuss the effects of those surface/interface modifications on the photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants, photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, and photocatalytic CO₂ reduction. Other environmental applications such as antimicrobial and self-cleaning are also briefly discussed.




Figure 5. (a) Different stages in heterogeneous photocatalysis (Reproduced with permission from [63], Copyright The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2016); surface/interface engineered TiO₂ structures for photocatalytic improvement: (b) crystallographic plane tuning (Reproduced with permission from [64], Copyright American Chemical Society, 2014), (c) defects engineering (Reproduced with permission from [65], Copyright Elsevier B.V., 2016), and (d) creating interfaces in TiO₂ nanostructures (Reproduced with permission from [66], Copyright Elsevier B.V., 2017).

4.1.1. Photocatalytic Degradation of Organic Contaminants

With a rapidly growing world population and expanding industrialization, the development of new materials, techniques, and devices that can provide safe water and air is important to the societal sustainability. Semiconductor photocatalysis has been utilized as an ideal way to degrade various organic contaminants in water and air.

Edy et al. [67] synthesized free-standing TiO₂ nanosheets with different thickness via atomic layer deposition on a dissolvable sacrificial polymer layer. The photocatalytic performance was evaluated for photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange under UV light irradiation. The photocatalytic activity increases with increasing the thickness, which may be due to the existence of Ti³⁺ defect and locally ordered domain structures in the amorphous nanosheets. TiO₂ nanostructures with exposed highly reactive facets, for example, anatase TiO₂ nanosheets with {001} facets, are desirable for the photocatalytic enhancement. Those thin nanosheets are prone to aggregate during the practical usage, which results in the loss of photocatalytic activity. Assembly the individual nanostructure into hierarchical architecture can not only suppress the aggregation of micro/nanoscale building blocks, but also increase specific surface area and the amount of active reaction sites, and reduce the diffusion barrier. We synthesized anatase TiO₂ hollow microspheres assembled with high-energy {001} facets via a facile one-pot hydrothermal method [68]. The percentage of exposed {001} facets on the microspheres was estimated to be about 60%. The photocatalytic ability was evaluated by photodegradation of methylene blue under UV light. The photocatalytic degradation reaction follows pseudo-first-order kinetics among the studied samples. The apparent photochemical degradation rate constant for the hierarchical TiO₂ structures is $4.07 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$, which is faster than that of control samples (TiO₂ powders (Degussa, P25), $3.11 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$; porous TiO₂ powders, $2.76 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$; the etched TiO₂ spheres, $2.17 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$; the irregular TiO₂ product, $0.86 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$). The good photocatalytic activity of the hierarchical TiO₂ structures is associated with the hollow structures with bimodal mesopore size distribution and relatively large Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas. Xiang et al. [69] synthesized a kind of hierarchical flower-like TiO₂ superstructures by alcoholthermal treatment method. The superstructures consisted of anatase TiO₂ nanosheets with 87% exposed (001) facets. Photocatalytic oxidative decomposition of acetone was evaluated in air under UV light. The results show that the photocatalytic activity of the flower-like TiO₂ superstructures was better than that of P25 and tubular shaped TiO₂ particles. The synergetic effect of highly exposed (001) facets hierarchically porous structure, and the increased light-harvesting capability is responsible for the enhanced photocatalytic ability.

Besides the exposed high energy facets, the introduction of suitable defect structures in TiO₂ materials can obviously influence the light absorption and the separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs [70–72]. Cao et al. [70] fabricated mesoporous black TiO₂ spheres with high crystallinity by a facile evaporation-induced self-assembly method combined with mild calcinations after an in-situ hydrogenation under an argon atmosphere. The results indicated that the prepared sample was uniform mesoporous black spheres with Ti³⁺ and N co-doping. The visible-light-driven photocatalytic degradation ratio of methyl orange was up to 96%, which was several times higher than that of pristine TiO₂ material. The excellent photocatalytic activity was due to Ti³⁺ and N doping, which resulted in high visible light utilization and enhanced separation of photogenerated charge carriers, and the mesoporous network structures.

Generating interface structures by depositing plasmonic-metal nanostructures (Ag, Pt, Au, etc.) on TiO₂ materials can increase the generation rate of energetic charge carriers and result in a higher probability of redox reactions [73–76]. By using successive ion layer adsorption and reaction, Shuang et al. [73] synthesized TiO₂ nanopillar arrays with both Au and Pt nanoparticles (~4 nm) decoration. Due to the electron-sink function of Pt and surface plasmon resonance of Au nanoparticles, the charge separation of photoexcited TiO₂ was improved. The obtained Au/Pt nanoparticles decorated TiO₂ nanopillar arrays showed a much higher visible and UV light absorption response, which lead to remarkably enhanced photocatalytic activities in the degradation of methyl orange.

4.1.2. Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution

Hydrogen energy is one of the most promising green fuels. Since the first discovery of photoelectrochemical water splitting by Fujishima and Honda in 1972, hydrogen production directly from water and sunlight on semiconductor materials has been intensively investigated [3]. Although

numerous semiconductor materials have been explored as photocatalysts to produce hydrogen, TiO_2 remains one of the most studied materials for photocatalytic H_2 evolution due to the main merits of nontoxic and chemical stability.

Highly reactive exposed facets of TiO_2 nanostructures are related to the photocatalytic activity enhancement. For example, Wu et al. [77] synthesized mesoporous rutile TiO_2 single crystal with wholly exposed {111} facets by a seeded-template method. Fluoride ions in the solution played an important role in stabilizing the high energy facet {111} of rutile TiO_2 . The ratios of exposed {110} and {111} facets can be controlled by tuning the concentration of fluoride ions. The mesoporous single crystal rutile TiO_2 with wholly exposed {111} reactive facets exhibited a greatly enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen generation. Zhang et al. [78] demonstrated that the TiO_2 single crystal with a novel four-truncated-bipyramid morphology could be synthesized by a facile hydrothermal reaction. The resultant photocatalyst exhibited excellent hydrogen evolution activity from ethanol-water solution. The exposure of both high-energy {001} oxidative and low-energy {101} reductive facets in an optimal ratio are thought to be the key factors for the high photocatalytic activity. In another example, anatase TiO_2 nanoplates with exposed (001) facet were converted from the NH_4TiOF_3 nanoplates [79]. The obtained compact TiO_2 nanoplates exhibited a high H_2 -production rate of $13 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ with a H_2 -production quantum efficiency of 0.93% at 365 nm.

The influence of defect structures in TiO_2 materials on photocatalytic H_2 -evolution is complicated. For one thing, the defects could introduce additional states in the band gap, which cause the recombination of carriers and the weakening of carriers' oxidation and reduction capacities [80–83]. For another, subtly generating specific defects will facilitate the separating of the carriers. Recently, Wu et al. [80] prepared yellow TiO_2 nanoparticles with ultra-small size of $\sim 3 \text{ nm}$. Simulated solar light driven catalytic experiments showed that the evolved H_2 for the yellow TiO_2 was $\sim 48.4 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, which was ~ 3.7 fold when comparing to that of the normal TiO_2 ($\sim 13.1 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) at the same experimental conditions. It is suggested that the significantly improved H_2 -evolution activity can be attributed to the coexistence of titanium vacancies (acceptor) and titanium interstitials (donor) in the TiO_2 materials, which is beneficial for the spontaneous separation of photo-generated charge-carriers. When compared to the complex steps that are required to accurately control of the defects, the passivation of the defect states with elemental doping would be more direct. Recent works show that Mg doping could eliminate the intrinsic deep defect states and weaken the shallow defect states in TiO_2 materials [83]. The result was confirmed by the transient infrared absorption-excitation energy scanning spectroscopic measurement. The photocatalytic over-all water splitting measurements showed the H_2 and O_2 evolution rates can be as high as 850 and $425 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ under Air Mass (AM) 1.5 G irradiation and the apparent quantum efficiency of 19.4% was achieved under 350 nm light irradiation.

Rational creating hetero- or homo-interfaces can achieve high-performance photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. When compared to the pure crystalline and amorphous TiO_2 film, high electron concentration and mobility can be concurrently obtained at the homo-interface between crystalline and amorphous layers in a bilayer TiO_2 thin film. Therefore, extraordinary properties could be explored in well-designed interfaces with homogeneous chemical composition. By creating a crystalline Ti^{3+} core/amorphous Ti^{4+} shell structure, Yang et al. [84] successfully activated rutile TiO_2 material with efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution properties. The average hydrogen evolution rate was enhanced from 1.7 for pure TiO_2 to $268.3 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ for TiO_2 with homointerface structures. The origin of the activation was attributed to the regulated the transport behaviors of holes and electrons from the bulk of a particle to the surface by suppressing the transport of electrons in the conduction band and facilitating the transport of holes in the valence band. In addition, hetero-interfaces between TiO_2 materials and other semiconductor or metal nanostructures, including carbon, Si, NiO, ZnS, CdS, MoS_2 , MoC_2 , layered double hydroxides, and plasmonic metals, has been extensively investigated [85–91]. As an example, Wu et al. [85] reported that anisotropic TiO_2 overgrowth on Au nanorods could be obtained by selective spatial assembly and subsequent hydrolysis. Plasmon-enhanced H_2 evolution

under visible/near-infrared light irradiation has been demonstrated. The Au nanorod-TiO₂ interface with the Au nanorod side exposed, as a Schottky junction, can filter out surface plasmon resonance hot electrons from the Au nanorod, which is crucial to boosting the H₂ evolution performance.

4.1.3. Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction

Due to the increasing consumption of conventional fossil fuels, the concentration of greenhouse gas, especially CO₂, steadily grows over years. Solar-light-driven reduction of CO₂ to useful chemical fuels (such as CH₄, HCO₂H, CH₂O, and CH₃OH) is a promising solution for the serious environmental and energy problems. In the process of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, typical steps including adsorption of CO₂, generation of electron-hole pair, separation and migration of electron-hole pair, and the reduction of CO₂ are involved. Since CO₂ molecules are highly stable, only the electrons with sufficient reduction potential can be utilized to trigger CO₂ reduction reactions, and suitable photocatalyst is required to decrease the high reaction barrier. Among a wide range of metal and semiconductor photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction, TiO₂ materials has attracted much attention due to the advantageous of high reduction potential, low cost, and high stability. The activity, selectivity, and durability of TiO₂ photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction is related to the efficiency of electron-hole separation and light utilization ability, which are very sensitive to the surface structure, atomic configuration, and chemical composition of the photocatalysts. For example, different kinds of metals (transition, rare, alkali earth metals) have been studied as doping to improve the photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction [92–94]. When compared to the metal doping method, which usually suffers from photocorrosion problem, non-metal (carbon, nitrogen, iodine, sulfur, etc.) doping has attracted more attention [95]. However, a large amount of non-intrinsic defects often generated during the doping and created electron-hole recombination centers at the same time. Herein, we mainly focus on surface/interface modification to enhance the performance of TiO₂ photocatalysts towards CO₂ reduction.

Yu et al. [64] investigated the effect of different exposed facets of anatase TiO₂ crystals on the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity. By using a simple fluorine-assisted hydrothermal method, they synthesized anatase TiO₂ with different ratios of the exposed {101} and {001} facets. The results showed that the photocatalytic activity of the anatase TiO₂ with the optimized ratio of exposed {001} to {101} facet (55:45) was ~4 times higher than that of P25 powder. They ascribed the enhancement to a concept of “surface heterojunction”. Electron and hole are driven to the {101} and {001} facets, inducing the separation of electron and hole. It is worth mentioning that surface atomic and defect structures on different facets should also contribute the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction processes. Truong et al. [96] synthesized rutile TiO₂ nanocrystals with exposed high-index facets through solvothermal reaction by using a water-soluble titanium-glycolate complex as a precursor. Structural characterizations showed that each branched nanocrystal was bound by four facets of high-index {331} facets, and rutile {101} twinned structures were formed in the boundary of branches. The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to methanol showed a significantly higher activity was achieved in the synthesized nanostructures due to the abundant surface defects on the high energy facets.

Generating oxygen vacancies is effective to modulate the electronic/optical properties, and thus optimize diverse applications of metal oxides. Generally, bulk oxygen vacancies formed a middle sub-band in the forbidden gap, which made TiO₂ response to the visible light, and those bulk oxygen vacancies also acted as the electron-hole recombination centers. The surface oxygen vacancies not only showed a strong response to the visible light, but also acted as the capture traps to inhibit electrons-holes recombination. By adjusting the concentration ratio of the surface and bulk oxygen vacancies, it is possible to improve the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO₂ nanostructures. Li et al. [66] compared the effects of oxygen vacancies in TiO₂ nanocrystals on the photoreduction of CO₂. By choosing the precursors and post-treatment conditions, they obtained three kinds of TiO₂ materials with different oxygen vacancies, i.e., TiO₂ with surface oxygen vacancies (TiO₂-SO), TiO₂ with bulk single-electron-trapped oxygen vacancies (TiO₂-BO), and TiO₂ with mixed vacancies (TiO₂-SBO).

By analyzing the lifetime and intensity by positron annihilation, the efficiency of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction improved with the increase of the ratio of surface oxygen vacancies to bulk ones. The results revealed the critical role of surface/bulk defects in photocatalytic properties.

Similar to the case of photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, creating metal- or semiconductor- TiO₂ interface via different post-deposition or in-situ forming methods has been demonstrated to be effective to improve the light harvesting and the separation of charged carriers, which are also important for the photoreduction of CO₂. Specifically, Schottky barrier can be formed when the Fermi level of the deposited metals are lower than the conduction band of the TiO₂ materials, which is favorable for the spatial separation of electron-hole pairs. Platinum, which possesses a suitable work function, is one of the most commonly used metal co-catalyst to improve the CO₂ reduction performance of TiO₂ photocatalysts. However, worldwide limited source and the consequent high price of platinum seriously hinder the large scale applications. The deposition of plasmonic nanostructures of metals such as silver and gold on TiO₂ materials has been extensively studied due to the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect, which shows important role in improving the photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction.

4.1.4. Other Environmental Applications

The essence of antimicrobial by using TiO₂ materials is a photocatalysis process. Therefore, the above surface/interface engineering towards photocatalytic enhancement can also be applied in the antimicrobial studies. Xu and co-workers [97] modified the aligned TiO₂ nanotubes via a thin layer of graphitic C₃N₄ material by a chemical vapor deposition method. Due to the synergetic effect, the bactericidal efficiency against *Escherichia coli* irradiated by visible-light has been improved. Recently, self-cleaning materials have gained much attention in energy and environmental areas. The self-cleaning properties can be achieved by morphology design to form either hydrophilic or hydrophobic surfaces [98]. Previous works show that the hydrophilic or hydrophobic properties can be controlled by the photocatalytic process [99], making it possible to couple photocatalysis and photoinduced wettability to improve self-cleaning properties in a controllable way. TiO₂ is such a material that shows photocatalytic self-cleaning activity. Interface formation via heterojunction or heterostructure [100,101], surface modification [102], and elemental doping [103,104] are typical methods to improve photocatalytic and self-cleaning activities of TiO₂ materials.

4.2. Lithium/Sodium Ion Batteries

Rechargeable lithium ion battery is one of the most important energy storage devices for a wide range of electron devices. The properties of electrode materials play an important role in the final performance of lithium ion batteries. Among the many potential electrode candidates, titanium dioxides with different phases have attracted much attention due to the abundance of raw materials and environmental benignity. Although the theoretical specific capacity of titanium dioxides (335 mA·h·g⁻¹, based on the reaction $\text{TiO}_2 + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$, $x \sim 0.96$) is comparable to that of commercial graphite (372 mA·h·g⁻¹), these materials possess a higher operating voltage platform than that of graphite, which is favorable for inhibiting the formation of lithium dendrite and solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer. Moreover, the minor volume variation during cycling ensures a good cycling stability. It should be noted that the unsatisfied electronic conductivity and sluggish ion diffusion hinder the high-rate applications of these materials. The size, shape, composition, and assembly of TiO₂ anodes are studied to optimize the lithium storage properties.

Recent works also show that nanoscale surface/interface design in TiO₂ nanostructures is beneficial for improving the battery performance (Table 1), which are ascribed to the advantages of micro and nano architectures. For example, theoretical and experimental results demonstrated that lithium insertion was favored on the high-energy {001} facets in anatase phase, because of the open structure, as well as short path for ion diffusion. Since the first synthesis of anatase phase with exposed {001} facets by Yang et al. [43], extensive studies have been reported on the synthesis of TiO₂ anodes with exposed {001} facets. Although the obtained anatase nanostructures possess sheetlike

morphology exposed with {001} facets, the samples tend to over-lap to reduce the total surface energy. It is therefore important to prevent the aggregation of anatase nanosheets with exposed {001} facets. By using a simple one-pot solution method, we successfully obtained three-dimensional (3D) anatase TiO₂ hollow microspheres, which were constituted by {001} facets (Figure 6a) [105]. In the synthesis, a mixture containing Ti powder, deionized water, hydrogen peroxide, and hydrofluoric acid was subjected to hydrothermal reaction at a temperature of 180 °C. The addition of hydrofluoric acid and hydrogen peroxide is critical for the formation of {001} facet assembly. The as-prepared sample shows good lithium storage properties. After 50 cycles at a current density of 0.1 C (1 C = 335 mA·h·g⁻¹), a reversible capacity of 157 mA·h·g⁻¹ can be retained, which is ~75% retention of the first reversible capacity. Rate performance test show that the discharge capacity reaches about 156 mA·h·g⁻¹ after the first 10 cycles at the rate of 1 C, and then it slightly reduces to 135 and 130 mA·h·g⁻¹ at the rates of 2 and 5 C, respectively. The electrode can still deliver a reversible capacity of 90 mA·h·g⁻¹ even at a high rate of 10 C. The electrode resumes its original capacity of about 150 mA·h·g⁻¹ after 10 cycles when the rate returns back to 1 C.

Table 1. Performance comparison of some lithium ion batteries and sodium ion batteries based on typical titanium dioxide (TiO₂) anodes (the voltage is versus Li⁺/Li or Na⁺/Na).

Material/[Reference]	Capacity (Cycles) (mA·h·g ⁻¹)	Rate Capability (mA·h·g ⁻¹)	Voltage (V)
Rutile TiO ₂ with quantum pits [50]	145 (80)@168 mA·g ⁻¹	102@1675 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
TiO ₂ microboxes [106]	187 (300)@170 mA·g ⁻¹	63@3400 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
Rutile TiO ₂ inverse opals [107]	95 (5000)@450 mA·g ⁻¹	-	1–3/Li
Faceted TiO ₂ crystals [108]	141.2 (100)@170 mA·g ⁻¹	29.9@1700 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
Nanosheet-constructed TiO ₂ (B) [109]	200 (200)@3350 mA·g ⁻¹	216@3350 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
TiO ₂ hollow microspheres [105]	157 (50)@170 mA·g ⁻¹	90@1700 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
rutile TiO ₂ nanostructures [110]	190 (200)@102 mA·g ⁻¹	84.5@1700 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
nest-like TiO ₂ hollow microspheres [111]	152 (100)@1020 mA·g ⁻¹	130@3400 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
Co ₃ O ₄ NPs@TiO ₂ (B) NSs [112]	677.3 (80)@100 mA·g ⁻¹	386@1000 mA·g ⁻¹	0.01–3.0/Li
TiO ₂ (B)@VS ₂ nanowire arrays [113]	365.4 (500)@335 mA·g ⁻¹	171.2@3350 mA·g ⁻¹	0.01–3.0/Li
Nb-doped rutile TiO ₂ Mesocrystals [114]	141.9 (600)@850 mA·g ⁻¹	96.3@6800 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
TiO ₂ @defect-rich MoS ₂ nanosheets [115]	805.3 (100)@100 mA·g ⁻¹	507.6@2000 mA·g ⁻¹	0.005–3.0/Li
MoS ₂ -TiO ₂ based composites [116]	648 (400)@1000 mA·g ⁻¹	511@2000 mA·g ⁻¹	0.005–3.0/Li
macroporous TiO ₂ [117]	181 (1000)@1700 mA·g ⁻¹	69@12.5 A·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
porous TiO ₂ hollow microspheres [118]	216 (100)@170 mA·g ⁻¹	112@1700 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
porous TiO ₂ (B) nanosheets [119]	186 (1000)@1675 mA·g ⁻¹	159@6700 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
graphene supported TiO ₂ (B) sheets [120]	325 (10000)@500 mA·g ⁻¹	49@40 A·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
mesoporous TiO ₂ coating on carbon [121]	210 (1000)@3400 mA·g ⁻¹	150@10.2 A·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
Ti ³⁺ -free three-phase Li ₄ Ti ₅ O ₁₂ /TiO ₂ [122]	136 (1000)@4000 mA·g ⁻¹	155.6@8 A·g ⁻¹	1.0–2.5/Li
Mesoporous TiO ₂ [123]	149 (100)@1000 mA·g ⁻¹	104@2000 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
Nanocrystalline brookite TiO ₂ [124]	170 (40)@35 mA·g ⁻¹	-	1–3/Li
Anatase TiO ₂ embedded with TiO ₂ (B) [125]	190 (1000)@1700 mA·g ⁻¹	110@8500 mA·g ⁻¹	1–3/Li
TiO ₂ -Sn@carbon nanofibers [126]	413 (400)@100 mA·g ⁻¹	-	0.01–2.0/Na
Double-walled Sb@TiO _{2-x} nanotubes [127]	300 (1000)@2.64 A·g ⁻¹	312@13.2 A·g ⁻¹	0.1–2.5/Na
Carbon-coated anatase TiO ₂ [128]	180 (500)@1675 mA·g ⁻¹	134@3.35 A·g ⁻¹	0.05–2.0/Na
Nanotube arrays of S-doped TiO ₂ [129]	136 (4400)@3350 mA·g ⁻¹	167@3350 mA·g ⁻¹	0.1–2.5/Na
Amorphous TiO ₂ inverse opal [130]	203 (100)@100 mA·g ⁻¹	113@5 A·g ⁻¹	0.01–3.0/Na
Petal-like rutile TiO ₂ [131]	144.4 (1100)@837.5 mA·g ⁻¹	59.8@4187 mA·g ⁻¹	0.01–3.0/Na
Yolk-like TiO ₂ [132]	200.7 (550)@335 mA·g ⁻¹	90.6@8375 mA·g ⁻¹	0.01–3.0/Na
Blue TiO ₂ (B) nanobelts [133]	210.5 (5000)@3350 mA·g ⁻¹	90.6@5025 mA·g ⁻¹	0.01–3.0/Na




Figure 6. Typical TiO₂ anodes and their lithium storage properties: (a) three-dimensional (3D) anatase TiO₂ hollow microspheres assembled with high-energy {001} facets (reprinted from [105] with permission, Copyright The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2012); (b) Rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles with quantum pits (reprinted from [50] with permission, Copyright The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2016); (c) Brookite TiO₂ nanocrystalline (reprinted from [105] with permission, Copyright The Electrochemical Society, 2007); (d) bunchy hierarchical TiO₂(B) structure assembled by porous nanosheets (reprinted from [119] with permission, Copyright Elsevier Ltd., 2017); and (e) Ultrathin anatase TiO₂ nanosheets embedded with TiO₂(B) nanodomains (Reproduced with permission from [125], Copyright John Wiley & Sons, 2015).

Rutile TiO₂ is the most stable phase, which can be prepared at elevated temperatures, however, rutile TiO₂ in bulk form is not favorable for the lithium ions intercalation. When the size decreased to nanoscale, rutile TiO₂ phase possesses obvious activity towards the insertion of lithium ions even at room temperature. However, some critical problems should be considered when using rutile TiO₂ nanostructures as anodes in lithium ion batteries, for example, particle aggregation and poor rate capacity. To boost the lithium storage of rutile TiO₂ anodes, the synthesis of micro and nano configurations with optimized surface/interface and improved conductivity is an effective method to overcome the above limitations. We synthesized rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles by a simple solution reaction, followed by annealing treatment (Figure 6b) [50]. The surface of each particle was etched to form quantum-sized pits (average size 2–5 nm), which possessed more unsaturated bond and other defect structures (for example steps, terraces, kinks, and others). The defective rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles provided more active sites for the storage of lithium ions and improved the electron conductivity as well. As a consequence, the sample exhibited a specific capacity of ~145 mA·h·g⁻¹ at a current density

of 0.5 C with good rate capability ($\sim 102 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ at 5 C) and cycling performance, demonstrating a great potential for lithium ion battery applications.

Among the different TiO_2 polymorphs that were investigated, a severe capacity fading was noted for the brookite phase, although it exhibited nearly one mole of reversible lithium insertion/extraction in its nanostructured form [123]. There has not been extensive research focused on developing such an anode. Reddy et al. [124] demonstrated intercalation of lithium into brookite TiO_2 nanoparticles (Figure 6c). Electrochemical test and ex-situ x-ray diffraction (XRD) studies showed that the structure was stable for lithium intercalation and deintercalation although the intercalation/deintercalation mechanism was not clear. Cycling performance of brookite TiO_2 performed at C/10 rate in the voltage window 1.0–3.0 V showed that there is a gradual loss of capacity in the initial 10 cycles, and the capacity is fairly stable at $170 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ on further cycling. In contrast to other TiO_2 polymorphs, the $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ phase possesses relatively more open crystal structure, which allows for the facile insertion/extraction of lithium ions. Moreover, a lower operating potential ($\sim 1.55 \text{ V}$ vs. Li) when compared to the anatase TiO_2 ($\sim 1.75 \text{ V}$ vs. Li), an improved reversibility, and a high rate capability make $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ phase a promising candidate for lithium storage. Li et al. [119] reported on the orderly integration of porous $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanosheets into bunchy hierarchical structure ($\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})\text{-BH}$) via a facile solvothermal process (Figure 6d). Benefiting from the unique structural merits, $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})\text{-BH}$ exhibited a high reversible capacity, long-term cycling stability ($186.6 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ at $1675 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ after 1000 cycles), and a desirable rate performance.

Recently, Jamnik and Maier proposed that it was possible to store additional lithium at the interface of nanosized electrodes, which included solid–liquid (electrode–electrolyte) interface and solid–solid interface between the electrodes (Figure 6e) [125,134]. The interfaces can accommodate additional Li ions, leading to a rise of total Li storage. Meanwhile, an additional synergistic storage is favored if the electrode material is made of a lithium ion-accepting phase and an electron-accepting phase, which is beneficial for charge separation (“Job-sharing” mechanism). Along this line, Wu et al. [125] synthesized a new kind of microsphere that was constructed by ultrathin anatase nanosheets embedded with $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanodomains, which contained a large amount of interfaces between the two phases. The hierarchical nanostructures show capacities of 180 and $110 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ after 1000 cycles at current densities of 3400 and $8500 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$. The ultrathin nanosheet structure, which provides short lithium diffusion length and high electrode/electrolyte contact area also accounts for the high capacity and long-cycle stability. This study highlights the importance of smart design in the interface structures in the nanoelectrodes.

Although the development and commercialization of lithium ion batteries have gained great success in the past years, one severe drawback of lithium ion batteries is the limited lithium resource in the Earth’s crust and its uneven geographical distribution. In this regard, sodium ion batteries have attracted particular attention due to the obvious advantages, including high earth-abundance of sodium, and lower cost vs. lithium ion batteries. In addition, the sodium chemistry is similar as the case of lithium, so the previously established surface/interface engineering strategies for titanium dioxides electrode design in lithium ion batteries system can be transferred to and expedite the sodium ion battery studies. Longoni et al. [39] systematically studied the role of different exposed crystal facets of the anatase nanocrystals on the sodium storage properties. By employing a surfactant-assisted solvothermal route, they synthesized anatase TiO_2 nanostructures with three different morphologies (Rhombic elongated (RE), rhombic (R), and nanobar (NB)), which showed obvious differences in crystal face type exposition. Their electrochemical performance results, together with theoretical analysis, showed that an overcoordinated state of Ti atoms on the crystal surface (low energy density (101) facets of NB and R moieties) strongly inhibits the sodium uptake, while a Goldilocks condition seems to occur for crystalline faces with intermediate energy densities, like (100) in RE. Zhang et al. [131] reported a smart design of the assembly and interface of rutile TiO_2 , and fewer layer graphene by using carbon dots as designer additives. The resultant graphene-rich petal-like rutile TiO_2 showed outstanding sodium-storage properties. At a rate of 0.25 C ($83.75 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$) after 300 cycles, a high capacity of

245.3 mA·h·g^{−1} was obtained, even at a high current density of 12.5 C (4187.5 mA·g^{−1}), a considerable capacity of 59.8 mA·h·g^{−1} can still be maintained. Notably, the reversible capacity up to 1100 cycles at a current density of 2.5 C (837.5 mA·g^{−1}) can still reach 144.4 mA·h·g^{−1}; even after 4000 cycles at 10 C (3350 mA·g^{−1}), a capacity retention of as high as 94.4% is obtained. Zhang et al. [133] demonstrated the positive function of oxygen vacancies in TiO₂(B) nanobelts for the enhancement of sodium storage. The sample displayed the significantly superior sodium-storage properties, including a higher capacity (0.5 C; 210.5 mA·h·g^{−1} vs. 102.7 mA·h·g^{−1}), better rate performance (15 C; 89.8 vs. 36.7 mA·h·g^{−1}), as compared to those of pristine TiO₂(B) electrodes without oxygen vacancies.

4.3. Li–S Batteries

Li–S batteries possess exceptionally high theoretical energy densities ~2600 Wh·kg^{−1} vs. 580 Wh·kg^{−1} of today's best batteries. Li–S batteries contain low cost materials, sulfur is highly abundant, and the anode consists of lithium metal and does not limit the capacity. Today's Li–S technology falls short in energy density and lifetime because of the limited sulfur loading in the cathode, due to the poor conductivity of sulfur deposits, because of the solvation into the electrolyte of the discharge products (i.e., Li_xS_y polysulfides), and finally because of the large volume expansion of sulfur during the battery cycling affecting the cathode integrity.

Cathodes with high surface area and high electronic conductivity are crucial to improve sulfur loading and rate performance of Li–S batteries. The polysulfides “shuttle” phenomena, via the solvation of the polysulfides in the electrolyte, gradually decrease the mass of active material, leading to continuous fading in capacity and must be avoided. Therefore, the candidate cathodes should have a porous and conductive nature, as well as suitable interactions with polysulfides simultaneously. To overcome those obstacles, a wide range of strategies has been developed, including encapsulation or coating of the sulfur electrode, use of impermeable membranes, and/or the use of electrolytes that minimize the solubility and diffusivity of the polysulfides. However, none of these solutions has led to acceptable results, fulfilling all of the requirements. For example, the main disadvantage of widely used porous conductive carbon electrodes lies in weak physical confinement of lithium sulfides, which is insufficient to prevent the diffusion and shuttling of polysulfides during long-term cycling. Therefore, ideal electrodes should not only possess porous and conductive nature, but also suitable interactions with polysulfides.

On a typical carbon support (Figure 7a), elemental sulfur undergoes reduction to form lithium polysulphides that then dissolve into the electrolyte. In the presence of a polar metal oxide as witnessed for titanium oxides, however, the solvation of the polysulfides is significantly affected (Figure 7b). Not only is the concentration of polysulphides in solution that greatly diminished during discharge, but also a slow, controlled deposition of Li₂S is observed. The results are ascribed to the interface-mediated, spatially controlled reduction of the polysulphides. Yu et al. [136] studied the interactions between intermediate polysulphides, final discharge product Li₂S and stable TiO₂ surface (anatase-TiO₂ (101), rutile-TiO₂ (110)) via theoretical simulation (Figure 7c–f). Their results show that the binding strength of the polysulphides to the anatase-TiO₂ (101) surface (2.30 eV) is a little higher than to rutile-TiO₂ (110) surface (2.18 eV), and the binding energy of Li₂S to the anatase-TiO₂ (101) surface (3.59 eV) is almost the same as with the rutile-TiO₂ (110) surface (3.62 eV). The values are larger than the adsorption binding energies for Li–S composites on graphene (<1 eV), highlighting the efficacy of TiO₂ in binding with polysulfide anions via polar–polar interactions.




Figure 7. The interaction between sulfur or lithium polysulphides and electrodes. (a) On reduction of S_8 on a carbon host, Li_2S_x desorb from the surface and undergo solution-mediated reactions leading to broadly distributed precipitation of Li_2S ; (b) On reduction of S_8 on the metallic polar Ti_4O_7 , Li_2S_x adsorb on the surface and are reduced to Li_2S via surface-mediated reduction at the interface (reprinted from [135] with permission, Copyright Nature Publishing Group, 2014); Adsorption configuration of (c,d) $Li-S^*$ and (e,f) Li_2S on the (c,e) anatase- TiO_2 (101) surface and (d,f) rutile- TiO_2 (110) surface (Reproduced with permission from [136], Copyright The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2016).

Experimentally, Cui et al. [137] designed a unique sulfur-TiO₂ yolk-shell architecture as a sulfur cathode, and obtained an initial specific capacity of 1030 mA·h·g^{−1} at 0.5 C (1 C = 1673 mA·g^{−1}) and Coulombic efficiency of 98.4% over 1000 cycles. Impressively, the capacity decay at the end of 1000 cycles is found to be as small as 0.033% per cycle (3.3% per 100 cycles). The excellent properties were ascribed to the yolk-shell morphology, which accommodates the large volumetric expansion of sulfur during cycling, thus preserving the structural integrity of the shell to minimize polysulphide dissolution. Based on the knowledge of chemical interactions between polysulphides and titanium oxides, a wide range of methods have been performed to optimize configuration of sulfur-titanium oxide cathodes. Typical examples include design and synthesis of porous titanium oxides high-surface area, crystalline facts engineering, conductivity enhancement by adding conductive agents (such as carbon fibers, graphene, conductive polymers) into the titanium oxide nanostructures or through annealing in inert/H₂ atmosphere. In this regard, Lou et al. [40] synthesized a sulfur host containing titanium monoxide@carbon hollow nanospheres (TiO@C-HS/S), which possess the key structural elements (i.e., high surface area, conductive, interactions with polysulfides) that are required for high-performance cathodes simultaneously (Figure 8). The TiO@C/S composite cathode delivered high discharge capacities of 41,100 mA·h·g^{−1} at 0.1 C, and exhibited stable cycle life up to 500 cycles at 0.2 and 0.5 C with a small capacity decay rate of 0.08% per cycle. The Li-S batteries performance based on typical titanium oxides are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of Li-S batteries performance based on typical titanium oxides electrode (the voltage is versus Li⁺/Li).

Material/[Reference]	Capacity (Cycles) (mA·h·g ^{−1})	Rate Capability (mA·h·g ^{−1})	Sulfur Loading (%)	Voltage (V)
TiO@carbon [40]	750 (500)@335 mA·g ^{−1}	655 @3.35 A·g ^{−1}	~70	1.9–2.6
Ti ₄ O ₇ /S [135]	1070 (500)@3350 mA·g ^{−1}	-	70	1.8–3.0
TiO ₂ /N-doped graphene [136]	918 (500)@1675 mA·g ^{−1}	833 @6.7 A·g ^{−1}	59	1.7–2.8
S-TiO ₂ yolk-shell [137]	1030 (1000)@837 mA·g ^{−1}	630 @3.35 A·g ^{−1}	62	1.7–2.6
TiO ₂ -porous carbon nanofibers [138]	618 (500)@1675 mA·g ^{−1}	668 @8.375 A·g ^{−1}	55	1.7–2.6
TiO ₂ -carbon nanofibers [139]	694 (500)@1675 mA·g ^{−1}	540 @3.35 mA·g ^{−1}	68.83	1.7–2.8
TiO ₂ /graphene [140]	630 (1000)@3350 mA·g ^{−1}	535 @5.025 A·g ^{−1}	51.2	1.6–2.8
Porous Ti ₄ O ₇ particles [141]	989 (300)@167.5 mA·g ^{−1}	873 @1.675 A·g ^{−1}	50–55	1.8–3.0
Polypyrrole/TiO ₂ nanotube arrays [142]	1150 (100)@167.5 mA·g ^{−1}	-	61.93	1.8–3.0
Graphene-TiO ₂ NPs [143]	663 (100)@1675 mA·g ^{−1}	-	75	1.7–2.8
TiO ₂ nanowire/graphene [144]	1053 (200)@335 mA·g ^{−1}	-	60	1.5–2.8
graphene/TiO ₂ /S [145]	597 (100)@1675 mA·g ^{−1}	-	60	1.5–3.0




Figure 8. Schematic illustration of the synthesis process and electrochemical properties of TiO@C-HS/S composites. (a) Nyquist plots before cycling from 1 MHz to 100 mHz; (b) the second-cycle galvanostatic charge/discharge voltage profiles at 0.1 C; (c) cycle performances at 0.1 C; (d) rate capabilities; and (e) the potential differences between the charge and discharge plateaus at various current densities of the TiO@C-HS/S, titanium dioxide@carbon hollow nanospheres/S composite (TiO₂@C-HS/S), carbon coated conductive TiO_{2-x} nanoparticles/S composite (TiO_{2-x}@C-NP/S), pure carbon hollow spheres/S composite (C-HS/S) and TiO₂ nanoparticles/S composite (TiO₂-NP/S) electrodes. (f) Voltage profiles at various current densities from 0.1 to 2 C and (g) prolonged cycle life and Coulombic efficiency at 0.2 and 0.5 C of the TiO@C-HS/S electrode. (h) Areal capacities and (i) voltage profiles at various current densities from 0.335 (0.05 C) to 1.34 mA·cm⁻² (0.2 C) of the TiO@C-HS/S electrode with high sulfur mass loading of 4.0 mg·cm⁻² (reprinted from [40] with permission, Copyright Nature Publishing Group, 2016).

5. Phase Stability of TiO₂ Nanostructures

As a kind of chemically stable and environmentally compatible metal oxides, TiO₂ nanostructures show fantastic physical/chemical properties and find many practical applications, ranging from energy conversion and storage, as mentioned above and others. The properties and applications are determined by the structures of TiO₂ materials, which is related to the external (temperature, pressure, environment, etc.) and internal (composition, strain, etc.) factors. Overall, the relative phase stability in ambient bulk form is TiO₂(B) < anatase < brookite < rutile, and the specific phase shows its unique applications. For example, anatase has been found to be the most active phase in photocatalysis. TiO₂(B) phase is more favorable for the insertion/extraction of lithium ions due to the more open crystal structure when compared to the other TiO₂ phases. Therefore, it is of importance to understand the phase transformation on nanoscale and improve the phase stability of the related TiO₂ nanostructures. General thermodynamic investigation, computational methods (including molecular dynamics simulations and DFT calculations), experimental routes (XRD, calorimetry, electrochemical measurements, etc.) have been successfully employed to study the phase stability and coarsening kinetics of the typical TiO₂ phases under different environment (dry, wet, hydrothermal conditions) [146]. Several excellent reviews describing the topics are available elsewhere, and we do not discuss them in this paper.

With the decreasing of the size or dimension, surface and/or interface will dominate in the nanostructure and play an important role in phase stability. Due to the nature of coordination unsaturation, the atoms at the surface are more active than those within the interior. Therefore, surfaces usually exhibit a lower stability relative to the lattice interior part. For example, the melting point of free-standing nanoparticles is remarkably depressed relative to that of bulk phase (T_0). Stabilizing the surface atoms would be a way to improve the relative phase stability. Typically, when nanoparticles are properly coated by or embedded in a matrix with higher melting point, the melting point of the particles can be elevated above T_0 . Herein, we focus on the strategies of surface/interface engineering to tune the phase stability in typical TiO₂ nanostructures.

We systematically studied the crystallization and structural transformation from anatase to rutile phase in the initial amorphous TiO₂ nanowires embedded in anodic aluminum oxide with different diameters (20, 50, and 80 nm, termed as TiO₂-20, TiO₂-50, and TiO₂-80, hereafter) [16]. Electron microscopy analysis and XRD results showed that the crystallization of TiO₂-20, TiO₂-50, and TiO₂-80 from amorphous to anatase occurred at ~600, ~500, and ~400 °C, and the transformation from anatase to rutile phase started at ~900, ~800, and ~750 °C (Figure 9). The results revealed a strong size dependence of the thermal stability of TiO₂ nanowires embedded the template. Control experiments on amorphous TiO₂ powder showed the crystallization and phase transformation temperatures were ~200 and ~600 °C, respectively.




Figure 9. Typical transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the as-prepared and annealed TiO₂ nanowires with diameters of (a) 20; (b) 50; and (c) 80 nm. The insets show corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns (Reproduced with permission from [16], Copyright Springer, 2012).

To quantitatively study the nucleation and growth kinetics, in-situ high-temperature X-ray diffraction technique was employed to track the transformation process from anatase to rutile phase. In this method, the position and intensity of diffraction peaks change during the increasing and decreasing temperatures, and thus provide an effective and direct way to trace the phase structure. Taken TiO₂-20 and TiO₂ powder for typical examples, the transformed rutile phase showed an exponential growth versus annealing time t , and the growth of the rutile was a thermally activated process (Figure 10). The rutile growth activation energy (E_g) values of 2.8 ± 0.2 eV and 1.6 ± 0.2 eV were determined in TiO₂-20 and TiO₂ powder, respectively. Additionally, no obvious change of the rutile size was observed in the initial stage of the studied temperature range, indicating that the increasing of the rutile volume fraction was induced by the nucleation events. By analyzing the dependence of nucleation rate on the annealing temperature, the rutile nucleation activation energy (E_n) values of 2.7 ± 0.2 eV and 1.9 ± 0.2 eV were yielded for TiO₂-20 and TiO₂ powder, respectively. The higher nucleation and growth energy for TiO₂-20 implied that the phase transformation from anatase to rutile was inhibited, i.e. the thermal stability of the anatase phase was improved. Our theoretical work showed the difference of thermal expansion coefficient between the nanoscale channel (aluminum oxide) and the embedded TiO₂ nanowire generated overpressure on the TiO₂/Al₂O₃ interface during annealing. The pressure can be estimated as ~ 0.13 GPa at 900 °C for TiO₂-20 sample. The pressure compressed the anatase surface and constrained the vibration of surface atoms, which were responsible for the improvement of the anatase phase. By choosing suitable surface layers and other coating techniques (such as Langmuir-Blodgett assembly, atomic layer deposition, etc.), this surface/interface confinement strategy can also be used to improve the phase stability of other TiO₂ polymorphs. For example, Zazpe et al. [15] recently reported on a very obvious enhancement of the phase stability of selforganized TiO₂ nanotubes layers with amorphous structure, which are provided by thin Al₂O₃ coatings of different thicknesses prepared by atomic layer deposition. TiO₂ nanotube layers coated

with Al_2O_3 coatings exhibit significantly improved thermal stability, as illustrated by the preservation of the nanotubular structure upon annealing treatment at high temperatures (870°C). It is worth noting that accompanying by phase transformation during annealing, TiO_2 nanostructures also suffer from the change in size, surface area, bandgap, and morphology [147], which are important parameters that influence the applications and must be considered in the phase stability studies.




Figure 10. Nucleation and growth kinetics of nanocrystalline anatase to rutile. Annealing time dependence of the size of the rutile in the (a) nanowire and (c) free-state powders at different temperatures; Annealing temperature variations of the nucleation rate (NR) and the growth saturation rate t_E^{-1} for rutile in the (b) nanowire and (d) free-state powders, respectively (Reproduced with permission from [16], Copyright Springer, 2012).

Besides phase transformation among the different TiO_2 polymorphs, surface atomic rearrangement (reconstruction) also occurs to reach a more stable state at a certain environment (temperature, pressure, atmosphere, humidity, etc.). Remarkably different physical/chemical properties on the surface with respect to the bulk counterpart can be yielded by the reconstruction. The environmental transmission electron microscopy (ETEM) technique allows for the direct imaging of the samples that are placed in a specimen chamber that is high pressures attainable, which can be achieved by either differential pumping systems or delicate TEM holder design [148]. Yuan et al. [149] reported in-situ atomic scale ETEM observations of the formation and evolution of the (1×4) reconstruction dynamics on the anatase TiO_2 (001) surface under oxygen atmosphere. They firstly cleaned the wet chemistry synthesized TiO_2 nanosheets with the aid of e-beam irradiation at a temperature of 500°C in oxygen environment. On the cleaned TiO_2 surface, the real-time dynamics for the transition from metastable (1×3) and (1×5) to (1×4) , and the unstable intermediate states were observed and identified (Figure 11). The special reconstruction was driven by the lowly coordinated atoms and surface stress. The results demonstrate the power of in situ real-time technique to study the dynamic formation and evolution of surface structures.




Figure 11. Atomic evolution of the $(1 \times n)$ reconstructions on anatase TiO_2 (001) surface. (a) Sequential HRTEM images of the dynamic structural evolution, viewed from [010] direction, with the red arrows indicating the unstable states; (b) The statistical diagram of the locations of the TiO_x rows with green and red lines indicating the stable states and the unstable states; (c) Side view of the proposed model for the unstable two-row state with the TiO_x row shown as ball-and-stick (Ti, gray; O, red) on the TiO_2 stick framework. The green and red arrows indicate the stable single-row and instable double-row structures, respectively; (d,e) Experimental HRTEM image compared with the simulated image based on the model in (c). (Reproduced with permission from [149], American Chemical Society, 2016).

6. Conclusions and Perspective

Recent years have witnessed explosive research and development efforts on TiO_2 materials, ranging from controllable synthesis to advanced characterizations and device applications. Although the intrinsic properties, such as wide bandgap, rapid carriers recombination, poor electronic conductivity, and coexistence of multiphases, hampered the practical applications of pristine TiO_2 materials to some extent, the surface/interface modifications have been demonstrated as effective routes to break the limitations, making it possible to be applied in diverse areas. This review article summarized the main progress in engineering the surface/interface structures in TiO_2 micro and nano structures, discussed the effect of surface/interface structures on environmental and electrochemical applications. Specifically, by tuning the exposed crystallographic planes, engineering defect structures, and constructing interface in various TiO_2 materials, the heterogeneous photocatalysis process, including light absorption, the generation and separation of photoexcited carriers, the migration, transport and recombination of carriers, and surface catalytic reactions can be well controlled and optimized. As a result, the photocatalytic properties of TiO_2 materials in the degradation of organic contaminants, hydrogen evolution, CO_2 reduction, antimicrobial, and self-cleaning are greatly

improved. For the battery applications, engineering the surface/interface structures of TiO₂ crystal not only increase the sites for ion storage, but also improve the electron and ion conductivity. In Li–S battery system, the interaction between sulfur cathodes and the surface of TiO₂ host can also be adjusted by surface/interface engineering. All of those factors are crucial for improving the specific capacity, rate performance, and cycle durability. In addition, the phase transitions in TiO₂ nanostructures and possible strategies of improving the phase stability have been analyzed. Despite these impressive advances, several challenges still remain.

- (1) Developing novel synthesis and treatment methods. Despite great success has been obtained in the controllable synthesis of TiO₂ nanostructures with tailored micro and nano structures, there is still room for improvement in terms of quality of the products. Moreover, the new methods also provide opportunities to further understand the nucleation and growth.
- (2) Control of the fine structures. High-index facets and defect sites are chemically active. However, the synthesis of TiO₂ nanocrystals with specific high-index facets is still a challenge. It is highly desirable to synthesize facet-controllable TiO₂ materials and further study the facet effect on energy storage, conversion, and other applications. In addition, selectively generating defect structures and controlling their concentrations in different TiO₂ phases are significant to reveal the role of defects in various physical and chemical processes.
- (3) In situ/*operando* study the dynamic evolution of the surface/interface. In situ/*operando* spectroscopic or microscopic studies afford the chance to probe the evolution of TiO₂ surface/interface structures in working conditions, which is crucial to study the complex phase transformation and device stability.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (Grants No. 51401114, 51701063) and Danish Research Council for Technology and Production Case No. 12-126194.

Author Contributions: Hongyu Sun and Xiaoliang Wang wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Editing and revising were carried out by all the authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Sang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Burda, C. TiO₂ Nanoparticles as functional building blocks. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9283–9318. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Liu, L.; Chen, X. Titanium dioxide nanomaterials: Self-structural modifications. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9890–9918. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37–38. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Nolan, M.; Iwaszuk, A.; Lucid, A.K.; Carey, J.J.; Fronzi, M. Design of novel visible light active photocatalyst materials: Surface modified TiO₂. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 5425–5446. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Chen, X.; Liu, L.; Huang, F. Black titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanomaterials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 1861–1885. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Cargnello, M.; Gordon, T.R.; Murray, C.B. Solution-phase synthesis of titanium dioxide nanoparticles and nanocrystals. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9319–9345. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Bai, Y.; Mora-Seró, I.; De Angelis, F.; Bisquert, J.; Wang, P. Titanium dioxide nanomaterials for photovoltaic applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 10095–10130. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Ma, Y.; Wang, X.; Jia, Y.; Chen, X.; Han, H.; Li, C. Titanium dioxide-based nanomaterials for photocatalytic fuel generations. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9987–10043. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Asahi, R.; Morikawa, T.; Irie, H.; Ohwaki, T. Nitrogen-doped titanium dioxide as visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst: Designs, developments, and prospects. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9824–9852. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Kapilashrami, M.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.-S.; Hagfeldt, A.; Guo, J. Probing the optical property and electronic structure of TiO₂ nanomaterials for renewable energy applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9662–9707. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

11. Schneider, J.; Matsuoka, M.; Takeuchi, M.; Zhang, J.; Horiuchi, Y.; Anpo, M.; Bahnemann, D.W. Understanding TiO₂ photocatalysis: Mechanisms and materials. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9919–9986. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Ferrighi, L.; Datteo, M.; Fazio, G.; Di Valentin, C. Catalysis under cover: Enhanced reactivity at the interface between (doped) graphene and anatase TiO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 7365–7376. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Bourikas, K.; Kordulis, C.; Lycourghiotis, A. Titanium dioxide (anatase and rutile): Surface chemistry, liquid–solid interface chemistry, and scientific synthesis of supported catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9754–9823. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Hua, X.; Liu, Z.; Bruce, P.G.; Grey, C.P. The morphology of TiO₂(B) nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13612–13623. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Zazpe, R.; Prikryl, J.; Gärtnerova, V.; Nechvilova, K.; Benes, L.; Strizik, L.; Jäger, A.; Bosund, M.; Sopha, H.; Macak, J.M. Atomic layer deposition Al₂O₃ coatings significantly improve thermal, chemical, and mechanical stability of anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers. *Langmuir* **2017**, *33*, 3208–3216. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Wang, X. Enhancement of thermal stability of TiO₂ nanowires embedded in anodic aluminum oxide template. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2012**, *47*, 739–745. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Zhou, W.; Sun, F.; Pan, K.; Tian, G.; Jiang, B.; Ren, Z.; Tian, C.; Fu, H. Well-ordered large-pore mesoporous anatase TiO₂ with remarkably high thermal stability and improved crystallinity: Preparation, characterization, and photocatalytic performance. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2011**, *21*, 1922–1930. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Biswas, D.; Biswas, J.; Ghosh, S.; Wood, B.; Lodha, S. Enhanced thermal stability of Ti/TiO₂/n-Ge contacts through plasma nitridation of TiO₂ interfacial layer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2017**, *110*, 052104. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Zhao, L.; Zhong, C.; Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Dong, B.; Wan, L. Ag nanoparticle-decorated 3D flower-like TiO₂ hierarchical microstructures composed of ultrathin nanosheets and enhanced photoelectrical conversion properties in dye-sensitized solar cells. *J. Power Sources* **2015**, *292*, 49–57. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Wu, W.-Q.; Xu, Y.-F.; Rao, H.-S.; Su, C.-Y.; Kuang, D.-B. A double layered TiO₂ photoanode consisting of hierarchical flowers and nanoparticles for high-efficiency dye-sensitized solar cells. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 4362. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Zhang, K.; Zhou, W.; Chi, L.; Zhang, X.; Hu, W.; Jiang, B.; Pan, K.; Tian, G.; Jiang, Z. Black N/H-TiO₂ nanoplates with a flower-Like hierarchical architecture for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 2841–2848. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Han, S.-H.; Lee, S.; Shin, H.; Suk Jung, H. A quasi-inverse opal layer based on highly crystalline TiO₂ nanoparticles: A new light-scattering layer in dye-sensitized solar cells. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2011**, *1*, 546–550. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. King, J.S.; Graugnard, E.; Summers, C.J. TiO₂ inverse opals fabricated using low-temperature atomic layer deposition. *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 1010–1013. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Kwak, E.S.; Lee, W.; Park, N.-G.; Kim, J.; Lee, H. Compact inverse-opal electrode using non-aggregated TiO₂ nanoparticles for dye-sensitized solar cells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2009**, *19*, 1093–1099. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Seo, Y.G.; Woo, K.; Kim, J.; Lee, H.; Lee, W. Rapid fabrication of an inverse opal TiO₂ photoelectrode for DSSC using a binary mixture of TiO₂ nanoparticles and polymer microspheres. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2011**, *21*, 3094–3103. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Cheng, C.; Karuturi, S.K.; Liu, L.; Liu, J.; Li, H.; Su, L.T.; Tok, A.I.Y.; Fan, H.J. Quantum-dot-sensitized TiO₂ inverse opals for photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation. *Small* **2012**, *8*, 37–42. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Cho, C.-Y.; Moon, J.H. Hierarchical twin-scale inverse opal TiO₂ electrodes for dye-sensitized solar cells. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 9372–9377. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Cheng, P.; Du, S.; Cai, Y.; Liu, F.; Sun, P.; Zheng, J.; Lu, G. Tripartite layered photoanode from hierarchical anatase TiO₂ urchin-like spheres and P25: A candidate for enhanced efficiency dye sensitized solar cells. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 24150–24156. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Pan, J.H.; Wang, X.Z.; Huang, Q.; Shen, C.; Koh, Z.Y.; Wang, Q.; Engel, A.; Bahnemann, D.W. Large-scale synthesis of urchin-like mesoporous TiO₂ hollow spheres by targeted etching and their photoelectrochemical properties. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 95–104. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Chen, J.S.; Liang, Y.N.; Li, Y.; Yan, Q.; Hu, X. H₂O-EG-Assisted synthesis of uniform urchinlike rutile TiO₂ with superior lithium storage properties. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 9998–10003. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

31. Bai, X.; Xie, B.; Pan, N.; Wang, X.; Wang, H. Novel three-dimensional dandelion-like TiO₂ structure with high photocatalytic activity. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2008**, *181*, 450–456. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Musavi Gharavi, P.S.; Mohammadi, M.R. The improvement of light scattering of dye-sensitized solar cells aided by a new dandelion-like TiO₂ nanostructures. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2015**, *137*, 113–123. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Lan, C.-M.; Liu, S.-E.; Shiu, J.-W.; Hu, J.-Y.; Lin, M.-H.; Diau, E.W.-G. Formation of size-tunable dandelion-like hierarchical rutile titania nanospheres for dye-sensitized solar cells. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 559–565. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Liu, G.; Yang, H.G.; Pan, J.; Yang, Y.Q.; Lu, G.Q. (Max); Cheng, H.-M. Titanium dioxide crystals with tailored facets. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9559–9612. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Wang, Y.; Sun, H.; Tan, S.; Feng, H.; Cheng, Z.; Zhao, J.; Zhao, A.; Wang, B.; Luo, Y.; Yang, J.; Hou, J.G. Role of point defects on the reactivity of reconstructed anatase titanium dioxide (001) surface. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2214. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Sun, R.; Wang, Z.; Saito, M.; Shibata, N.; Ikuhara, Y. Atomistic mechanisms of nonstoichiometry-induced twin boundary structural transformation in titanium dioxide. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Selcuk, S.; Selloni, A. Facet-dependent trapping and dynamics of excess electrons at anatase TiO₂ surfaces and aqueous interfaces. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *15*, 1107–1112. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Zhang, X.; He, Y.; Sushko, M.L.; Liu, J.; Luo, L.; Yoreo, J.J.D.; Mao, S.X.; Wang, C.; Rosso, K.M. Direction-specific van der Waals attraction between rutile TiO₂ nanocrystals. *Science* **2017**, *356*, 434–437. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Longoni, G.; Pena Cabrera, R.L.; Polizzi, S.; D'Arienzo, M.; Mari, C.M.; Cui, Y.; Ruffo, R. Shape-controlled TiO₂ nanocrystals for Na-ion battery electrodes: The role of different exposed crystal facets on the electrochemical properties. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 992–1000. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Li, Z.; Zhang, J.; Guan, B.; Wang, D.; Liu, L.-M.; Lou, X.W. (David). A sulfur host based on titanium monoxide@carbon hollow spheres for advanced lithium–sulfur batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 13065. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Setvín, M.; Aschauer, U.; Scheiber, P.; Li, Y.-F.; Hou, W.; Schmid, M.; Selloni, A.; Diebold, U. Reaction of O₂ with subsurface oxygen vacancies on TiO₂ anatase (101). *Science* **2013**, *341*, 988–991. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Zhou, P.; Zhang, H.; Ji, H.; Ma, W.; Chen, C.; Zhao, J. Modulating the photocatalytic redox preferences between anatase TiO₂ {001} and {101} surfaces. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 787–790. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Yang, H.G.; Sun, C.H.; Qiao, S.Z.; Zou, J.; Liu, G.; Smith, S.C.; Cheng, H.M.; Lu, G.Q. Anatase TiO₂ single crystals with a large percentage of reactive facets. *Nature* **2008**, *453*, 638–641. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Crossland, E.J.W.; Noel, N.; Sivaram, V.; Leijtens, T.; Alexander-Webber, J.A.; Snaith, H.J. Mesoporous TiO₂ single crystals delivering enhanced mobility and optoelectronic device performance. *Nature* **2013**, *495*, 215–219. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Pabón, B.M.; Beltrán, J.I.; Sánchez-Santolino, G.; Palacio, I.; López-Sánchez, J.; Rubio-Zuazo, J.; Rojo, J.M.; Ferrer, P.; Mascaraque, A.; Muñoz, M.C.; et al. Formation of titanium monoxide (001) single-crystalline thin film induced by ion bombardment of titanium dioxide (110). *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6147. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Wang, X.; Li, Z.; Shi, J.; Yu, Y. One-dimensional titanium dioxide nanomaterials: Nanowires, nanorods, and nanobelts. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9346–9384. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Richter, C.; Schmuttenmaer, C.A. Exciton-like trap states limit electron mobility in TiO₂ nanotubes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2010**, *5*, 769–772. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Penn, R.L.; Banfield, J.F. Morphology development and crystal growth in nanocrystalline aggregates under hydrothermal conditions: Insights from titania. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1999**, *63*, 1549–1557. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Chen, X.; Liu, L.; Yu, P.Y.; Mao, S.S. Increasing solar absorption for photocatalysis with black hydrogenated titanium dioxide nanocrystals. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 746–750. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Huang, J.; Fang, F.; Huang, G.; Sun, H.; Zhu, J.; Yu, R. Engineering the surface of rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles with quantum pits towards excellent lithium storage. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 66197–66203. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Jiménez, J.M.; Bourret, G.R.; Berger, T.; McKenna, K.P. Modification of charge trapping at particle/particle interfaces by electrochemical hydrogen doping of nanocrystalline TiO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 15956–15964. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

52. Giordano, F.; Abate, A.; Correa Baena, J.P.; Saliba, M.; Matsui, T.; Im, S.H.; Zakeeruddin, S.M.; Nazeeruddin, M.K.; Hagfeldt, A.; Graetzel, M. Enhanced electronic properties in mesoporous TiO₂ via lithium doping for high-efficiency perovskite solar cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10379. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Ide, Y.; Inami, N.; Hattori, H.; Saito, K.; Sohmiya, M.; Tsunaji, N.; Komaguchi, K.; Sano, T.; Bando, Y.; Golberg, D.; et al. Remarkable charge separation and photocatalytic efficiency enhancement through interconnection of TiO₂ nanoparticles by hydrothermal treatment. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 3600–3605. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Liu, J.; Olds, D.; Peng, R.; Yu, L.; Foo, G.S.; Qian, S.; Keum, J.; Guiton, B.S.; Wu, Z.; Page, K. Quantitative analysis of the morphology of {101} and {001} faceted anatase TiO₂ nanocrystals and its implication on photocatalytic activity. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 5591–5604. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Li, W.; Wu, Z.; Wang, J.; Elzatahry, A.A.; Zhao, D. A Perspective on mesoporous TiO₂ materials. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 287–298. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Zhang, D.; Liu, J.; Li, P.; Tian, Z.; Liang, C. Recent advances in surfactant-free, surface-charged, and defect-rich catalysts developed by laser ablation and processing in liquids. *ChemNanoMat* **2017**, *3*, 512–533. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Lau, M.; Straube, T.; Aggarwal, A.V.; Hagemann, U.; de Oliveira Viestel, B.; Hartmann, N.; Textor, T.; Lutz, H.; Gutmann, J.S.; Barcikowski, S. Gradual modification of ITO particle's crystal structure and optical properties by pulsed UV laser irradiation in a free liquid jet. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 6039–6048. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Filice, S.; Compagnini, G.; Fiorenza, R.; Scirè, S.; D'Urso, L.; Fragalà, M.E.; Russo, P.; Fazio, E.; Scalese, S. Laser processing of TiO₂ colloids for an enhanced photocatalytic water splitting activity. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, *489*, 131–137. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Russo, P.; Liang, R.; He, R.X.; Zhou, Y.N. Phase transformation of TiO₂ nanoparticles by femtosecond laser ablation in aqueous solutions and deposition on conductive substrates. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 6167–6177. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Raghunath, P.; Huang, W.F.; Lin, M.C. Quantum chemical elucidation of the mechanism for hydrogenation of TiO₂ anatase crystals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *138*, 154705. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
61. Pan, H.; Zhang, Y.-W.; Shenoy, V.B.; Gao, H. Effects of H-, N-, and (H, N)-doping on the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 12224–12231. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Aschauer, U.; Selloni, A. Hydrogen interaction with the anatase TiO₂ (101) surface. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 16595–16602. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Li, X.; Yu, J.; Jaroniec, M. Hierarchical photocatalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 2603–2636. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Yu, J.; Low, J.; Xiao, W.; Zhou, P.; Jaroniec, M. Enhanced photocatalytic CO₂-reduction activity of Anatase TiO₂ by coexposed {001} and {101} Facets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8839–8842. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Low, J.; Cheng, B.; Yu, J. Surface modification and enhanced photocatalytic CO₂ reduction performance of TiO₂: A review. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *392*, 658–686. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Li, J.; Zhang, M.; Guan, Z.; Li, Q.; He, C.; Yang, J. Synergistic effect of surface and bulk single-electron-trapped oxygen vacancy of TiO₂ in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *206*, 300–307. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Edy, R.; Zhao, Y.; Huang, G.S.; Shi, J.J.; Zhang, J.; Solovev, A.A.; Mei, Y. TiO₂ nanosheets synthesized by atomic layer deposition for photocatalysis. *Prog. Nat. Sci.* **2016**, *26*, 493–497. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Wang, X.; He, H.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, X. Anatase TiO₂ hollow microspheres with exposed {001} facets: Facile synthesis and enhanced photocatalysis. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2012**, *258*, 5863–5868. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Xiang, Q.; Yu, J. Photocatalytic activity of hierarchical flower-like TiO₂ superstructures with dominant {001} facets. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2011**, *32*, 525–531. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Cao, Y.; Xing, Z.; Shen, Y.; Li, Z.; Wu, X.; Yan, X.; Zou, J.; Yang, S.; Zhou, W. Mesoporous black Ti³⁺/N-TiO₂ spheres for efficient visible-light-driven photocatalytic performance. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *325*, 199–207. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. An, X.; Zhang, L.; Wen, B.; Gu, Z.; Liu, L.-M.; Qu, J.; Liu, H. Boosting photoelectrochemical activities of heterostructured photoanodes through interfacial modulation of oxygen vacancies. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *35*, 290–298. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Chen, Y.; Li, W.; Wang, J.; Gan, Y.; Liu, L.; Ju, M. Microwave-assisted ionic liquid synthesis of Ti³⁺ self-doped TiO₂ hollow nanocrystals with enhanced visible-light photoactivity. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2016**, *191*, 94–105. [[CrossRef](#)]

73. Shuang, S.; Lv, R.; Xie, Z.; Zhang, Z. Surface plasmon enhanced photocatalysis of Au/Pt-decorated TiO₂ nanopillar arrays. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 26670. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Chiu, Y.-H.; Hsu, Y.-J. Au@Cu₇S₄ yolk@shell nanocrystal-decorated TiO₂ nanowires as an all-day-active photocatalyst for environmental purification. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *31*, 286–295. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Jin, J.; Wang, C.; Ren, X.-N.; Huang, S.-Z.; Wu, M.; Chen, L.-H.; Hasan, T.; Wang, B.-J.; Li, Y.; Su, B.-L. Anchoring ultrafine metallic and oxidized Pt nanoclusters on yolk-shell TiO₂ for unprecedentedly high photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *38*, 118–126. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Yu, C.; Yu, Y.; Xu, T.; Wang, X.; Ahmad, M.; Sun, H. Hierarchical nanoflowers assembled with Au nanoparticles decorated ZnO nanosheets toward enhanced photocatalytic properties. *Mater. Lett.* **2017**, *190*, 185–187. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Wu, T.; Kang, X.; Kadi, M.W.; Ismail, I.; Liu, G.; Cheng, H.-M. Enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen generation of mesoporous rutile TiO₂ single crystal with wholly exposed {111} facets. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2015**, *36*, 2103–2108. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Zhang, K.; Liu, Q.; Wang, H.; Zhang, R.; Wu, C.; Gong, J.R. TiO₂ single crystal with four-truncated-bipyramid morphology as an efficient photocatalyst for hydrogen production. *Small* **2013**, *9*, 2452–2459. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
79. Hu, J.; Cao, Y.; Wang, K.; Jia, D. Green solid-state synthesis and photocatalytic hydrogen production activity of anatase TiO₂ nanoplates with super heat-stability. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 11827–11833. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Wu, Q.; Huang, F.; Zhao, M.; Xu, J.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Y. Ultra-small yellow defective TiO₂ nanoparticles for co-catalyst free photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *24*, 63–71. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Pei, D.-N.; Gong, L.; Zhang, A.-Y.; Zhang, X.; Chen, J.-J.; Mu, Y.; Yu, H.-Q. Defective titanium dioxide single crystals exposed by high-energy {001} facets for efficient oxygen reduction. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8696. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
82. Yang, Y.; Gao, P.; Wang, Y.; Sha, L.; Ren, X.; Zhang, J.; Chen, Y.; Wu, T.; Yang, P.; Li, X. A direct charge transfer from interface to surface for the highly efficient spatial separation of electrons and holes: The construction of Ti–C bonded interfaces in TiO₂–C composite as a touchstone for photocatalytic water splitting. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *33*, 29–36. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Gao, L.; Li, Y.; Ren, J.; Wang, S.; Wang, R.; Fu, G.; Hu, Y. Passivation of defect states in anatase TiO₂ hollow spheres with Mg doping: Realizing efficient photocatalytic overall water splitting. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *202*, 127–133. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Yang, Y.; Liu, G.; Irvine, J.T.S.; Cheng, H.-M. Enhanced photocatalytic H₂ production in core-shell engineered rutile TiO₂. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 5850–5856. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Wu, B.; Liu, D.; Mubeen, S.; Chuong, T.T.; Moskovits, M.; Stucky, G.D. Anisotropic growth of TiO₂ onto gold nanorods for plasmon-enhanced hydrogen production from water reduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 1114–1117. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
86. Lee, C.-Y.; Park, H.S.; Fontecilla-Camps, J.C.; Reisner, E. Photoelectrochemical H₂ evolution with a hydrogenase immobilized on a TiO₂-protected silicon electrode. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2016**, *55*, 5971–5974. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Valenti, G.; Boni, A.; Melchionna, M.; Cargnello, M.; Nasi, L.; Bertoni, G.; Gorte, R.J.; Marcaccio, M.; Rapino, S.; Bonchio, M.; et al. Co-axial heterostructures integrating palladium/titanium dioxide with carbon nanotubes for efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 13549. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Zhang, R.; Shao, M.; Xu, S.; Ning, F.; Zhou, L.; Wei, M. Photo-assisted synthesis of zinc-iron layered double hydroxides/TiO₂ nanoarrays toward highly-efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *33*, 21–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Bendova, M.; Gispert-Guirado, F.; Hassel, A.W.; Llobet, E.; Mozalev, A. Solar water splitting on porous-alumina-assisted TiO₂-doped WO_x nanorod photoanodes: Paradoxes and challenges. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *33*, 72–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Yue, X.; Yi, S.; Wang, R.; Zhang, Z.; Qiu, S. A novel architecture of dandelion-like Mo₂C/TiO₂ heterojunction photocatalysts towards high-performance photocatalytic hydrogen production from water splitting. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 10591–10598. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. He, H.; Lin, J.; Fu, W.; Wang, X.; Wang, H.; Zeng, Q.; Gu, Q.; Li, Y.; Yan, C.; Tay, B.K.; et al. MoS₂/TiO₂ edge-on heterostructure for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6*, 1600464. [[CrossRef](#)]

92. Abdellah, M.; El-Zohry, A.M.; Antila, L.J.; Windle, C.D.; Reisner, E.; Hammarström, L. Time-resolved IR spectroscopy reveals a mechanism with TiO₂ as a reversible electron acceptor in a TiO₂-Re catalyst system for CO₂ photoreduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 1226–1232. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
93. Matsubu, J.C.; Zhang, S.; DeRita, L.; Marinkovic, N.S.; Chen, J.G.; Graham, G.W.; Pan, X.; Christopher, P. Adsorbate-mediated strong metal-support interactions in oxide-supported Rh catalysts. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *9*, 120–127. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Bumajdad, A.; Madkour, M. Understanding the superior photocatalytic activity of noble metals modified titania under UV and visible light irradiation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 7146–7158. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Grigioni, I.; Dozzi, M.V.; Bernareggi, M.; Chiarello, G.L.; Selli, E. Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction vs. H₂ production: The effects of surface carbon-containing impurities on the performance of TiO₂-based photocatalysts. *Catal. Today* **2017**, *281*, 214–220. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Truong, Q.D.; Hoa, H.T.; Le, T.S. Rutile TiO₂ nanocrystals with exposed {331} facets for enhanced photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, *504*, 223–229. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
97. Xu, J.; Li, Y.; Zhou, X.; Li, Y.; Gao, Z.-D.; Song, Y.-Y.; Schmuki, P. Graphitic C₃N₄-sensitized TiO₂ nanotube layers: A visible-light activated efficient metal-free antimicrobial platform. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 3947–3951. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
98. Banerjee, S.; Dionysiou, D.D.; Pillai, S.C. Self-cleaning applications of TiO₂ by photo-induced hydrophilicity and photocatalysis. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2015**, *176–177*, 396–428. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Wang, R.; Hashimoto, K.; Fujishima, A.; Chikuni, M.; Kojima, E.; Kitamura, A.; Shimohigoshi, M.; Watanabe, T. Light-induced amphiphilic surfaces. *Nature* **1997**, *388*, 431–432. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Patrocínio, A.O.T.; Paula, L.F.; Paniago, R.M.; Freitag, J.; Bahnemann, D.W. Layer-by-Layer TiO₂/WO₃ thin films as efficient photocatalytic self-cleaning surfaces. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 16859–16866. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
101. Kapridaki, C.; Pinho, L.; Mosquera, M.J.; Maravelaki-Kalaitzaki, P. Producing photoactive, transparent and hydrophobic SiO₂-crystalline TiO₂ nanocomposites at ambient conditions with application as self-cleaning coatings. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2014**, *156–157*, 416–427. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Murakami, A.; Yamaguchi, T.; Hirano, S.; Kikuta, K. Synthesis of porous titania thin films using carbonation reaction and its hydrophilic property. *Thin Solid Films* **2008**, *516*, 3888–3892. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Nolan, N.T.; Synnott, D.W.; Seery, M.K.; Hinder, S.J.; Van Wassenhoven, A.; Pillai, S.C. Effect of N-doping on the photocatalytic activity of sol-gel TiO₂. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2012**, *211–212*, 88–94. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Feng, N.; Wang, Q.; Zheng, A.; Zhang, Z.; Fan, J.; Liu, S.-B.; Amoureux, J.-P.; Deng, F. Understanding the high photocatalytic activity of (B, Ag)-codoped TiO₂ under solar-light irradiation with XPS, solid-state NMR, and DFT calculations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1607–1616. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
105. Yu, Y.; Wang, X.; Sun, H.; Ahmad, M. 3D anatase TiO₂ hollow microspheres assembled with high-energy {001} facets for lithium-ion batteries. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2*, 7901–7905. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Gao, X.; Li, G.; Xu, Y.; Hong, Z.; Liang, C.; Lin, Z. TiO₂ Microboxes with controlled internal porosity for high-performance lithium storage. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2015**, *54*, 14331–14335. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. McNulty, D.; Carroll, E.; O'Dwyer, C. Rutile TiO₂ inverse opal anodes for li-ion batteries with long cycle life, high-rate capability, and high structural stability. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*, 1602291. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Liu, G.; Yin, L.-C.; Pan, J.; Li, F.; Wen, L.; Zhen, C.; Cheng, H.-M. Greatly enhanced electronic conduction and lithium storage of faceted TiO₂ crystals supported on metallic substrates by tuning crystallographic orientation of TiO₂. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 3507–3512. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
109. Liu, S.; Jia, H.; Han, L.; Wang, J.; Gao, P.; Xu, D.; Yang, J.; Che, S. Nanosheet-constructed porous TiO₂-B for advanced lithium ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 3201–3204. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Gao, R.; Jiao, Z.; Wang, Y.; Xu, L.; Xia, S.; Zhang, H. Eco-friendly synthesis of rutile TiO₂ nanostructures with controlled morphology for efficient lithium-ion batteries. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2016**, *304*, 156–164. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Wang, Z.; Zhang, F.; Xing, H.; Gu, M.; An, J.; Zhai, B.; An, Q.; Yu, C.; Li, G. Fabrication of nest-like TiO₂ hollow microspheres and its application for lithium ion batteries with high-rate performance. *Electrochim. Acta* **2017**, *243*, 112–118. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Mujtaba, J.; Sun, H.; Zhao, Y.; Xiang, G.; Xu, S.; Zhu, J. High-performance lithium storage based on the synergy of atomic-thickness nanosheets of TiO₂(B) and ultrafine Co₃O₄ nanoparticles. *J. Power Sources* **2017**, *363*, 110–116. [[CrossRef](#)]

113. Cao, M.; Gao, L.; Lv, X.; Shen, Y. TiO₂-B@VS₂ heterogeneous nanowire arrays as superior anodes for lithium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2017**, *350*, 87–93. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Lan, T.; Zhang, W.; Wu, N.-L.; Wei, M. Nb-doped rutile TiO₂ mesocrystals with enhanced lithium storage properties for lithium ion battery. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 5059–5065. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
115. Chen, B.; Liu, E.; He, F.; Shi, C.; He, C.; Li, J.; Zhao, N. 2D sandwich-like carbon-coated ultrathin TiO₂@defect-rich MoS₂ hybrid nanosheets: Synergistic-effect-promoted electrochemical performance for lithium ion batteries. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *26*, 541–549. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Chen, B.; Liu, E.; Cao, T.; He, F.; Shi, C.; He, C.; Ma, L.; Li, Q.; Li, J.; Zhao, N. Controllable graphene incorporation and defect engineering in MoS₂-TiO₂ based composites: Towards high-performance lithium-ion batteries anode materials. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *33*, 247–256. [[CrossRef](#)]
117. Lui, G.; Li, G.; Wang, X.; Jiang, G.; Lin, E.; Fowler, M.; Yu, A.; Chen, Z. Flexible, three-dimensional ordered macroporous TiO₂ electrode with enhanced electrode–electrolyte interaction in high-power Li-ion batteries. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *24*, 72–77. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Jin, J.; Huang, S.-Z.; Shu, J.; Wang, H.-E.; Li, Y.; Yu, Y.; Chen, L.-H.; Wang, B.-J.; Su, B.-L. Highly porous TiO₂ hollow microspheres constructed by radially oriented nanorods chains for high capacity, high rate and long cycle capability lithium battery. *Nano Energy* **2015**, *16*, 339–349. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Li, X.; Wu, G.; Liu, X.; Li, W.; Li, M. Orderly integration of porous TiO₂(B) nanosheets into bunched hierarchical structure for high-rate and ultralong-lifespan lithium-ion batteries. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *31*, 1–8. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Ren, G.; Hoque, M.N.F.; Liu, J.; Warzywoda, J.; Fan, Z. Perpendicular edge oriented graphene foam supporting orthogonal TiO₂(B) nanosheets as freestanding electrode for lithium ion battery. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *21*, 162–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Liu, Y.; Elzatahry, A.A.; Luo, W.; Lan, K.; Zhang, P.; Fan, J.; Wei, Y.; Wang, C.; Deng, Y.; Zheng, G.; et al. Surfactant-templating strategy for ultrathin mesoporous TiO₂ coating on flexible graphitized carbon supports for high-performance lithium-ion battery. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *25*, 80–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
122. Wang, S.; Yang, Y.; Quan, W.; Hong, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Tang, Z.; Li, J. Ti³⁺-free three-phase Li₄Ti₅O₁₂/TiO₂ for high-rate lithium ion batteries: Capacity and conductivity enhancement by phase boundaries. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *32*, 294–301. [[CrossRef](#)]
123. Chu, S.; Zhong, Y.; Cai, R.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, S.; Shao, Z. Mesoporous and nanostructured TiO₂ layer with ultra-high loading on nitrogen-doped carbon foams as flexible and free-standing electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. *Small* **2016**, *12*, 6724–6734. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
124. Reddy, M.A.; Kishore, M.S.; Pralong, V.; Varadaraju, U.V.; Raveau, B. Lithium Intercalation into Nanocrystalline Brookite TiO₂. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2007**, *10*, A29–A31. [[CrossRef](#)]
125. Wu, Q.; Xu, J.; Yang, X.; Lu, F.; He, S.; Yang, J.; Fan, H.J.; Wu, M. Ultrathin anatase TiO₂ nanosheets embedded with TiO₂-B nanodomains for lithium-ion storage: Capacity enhancement by phase boundaries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1401756. [[CrossRef](#)]
126. Mao, M.; Yan, F.; Cui, C.; Ma, J.; Zhang, M.; Wang, T.; Wang, C. Pipe-wire TiO₂-Sn@carbon nanofibers paper anodes for lithium and sodium ion batteries. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 3830–3836. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
127. Wang, N.; Bai, Z.; Qian, Y.; Yang, J. Double-Walled Sb@TiO_{2-x} Nanotubes as a superior high-rate and ultralong-lifespan anode material for Na-ion and Li-ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 4126–4133. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
128. Tahir, M.N.; Oschmann, B.; Buchholz, D.; Dou, X.; Lieberwirth, I.; Panthöfer, M.; Tremel, W.; Zentel, R.; Passerini, S. Extraordinary performance of carbon-coated anatase TiO₂ as sodium-ion anode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6*, 1501489. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
129. Ni, J.; Fu, S.; Wu, C.; Maier, J.; Yu, Y.; Li, L. Self-supported nanotube arrays of sulfur-doped TiO₂ enabling ultrastable and robust sodium storage. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 2259–2265. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
130. Zhou, M.; Xu, Y.; Wang, C.; Li, Q.; Xiang, J.; Liang, L.; Wu, M.; Zhao, H.; Lei, Y. Amorphous TiO₂ inverse opal anode for high-rate sodium ion batteries. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *31*, 514–524. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. Zhang, Y.; Foster, C.W.; Banks, C.E.; Shao, L.; Hou, H.; Zou, G.; Chen, J.; Huang, Z.; Ji, X. Graphene-rich wrapped petal-like rutile TiO₂ tuned by carbon dots for high-performance sodium storage. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 9391–9399. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
132. Zhang, Y.; Wang, C.; Hou, H.; Zou, G.; Ji, X. Nitrogen doped/carbon tuning yolk-like TiO₂ and its remarkable impact on sodium storage performances. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*, 1600173. [[CrossRef](#)]

133. Zhang, Y.; Ding, Z.; Foster, C.W.; Banks, C.E.; Qiu, X.; Ji, X. Oxygen vacancies evoked blue TiO₂(B) nanobelts with efficiency enhancement in sodium storage behaviors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2017**, *27*, 1700856. [[CrossRef](#)]
134. Jamnik, J.; Maier, J. Nanocrystallinity effects in lithium battery materials Part IV. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *5*, 5215–5220. [[CrossRef](#)]
135. Pang, Q.; Kundu, D.; Cuisinier, M.; Nazar, L.F. Surface-enhanced redox chemistry of polysulphides on a metallic and polar host for lithium-sulphur batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4759. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
136. Yu, M.; Ma, J.; Song, H.; Wang, A.; Tian, F.; Wang, Y.; Qiu, H.; Wang, R. Atomic layer deposited TiO₂ on a nitrogen-doped graphene/sulfur electrode for high performance lithium-sulfur batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 1495–1503. [[CrossRef](#)]
137. Wei Seh, Z.; Li, W.; Cha, J.J.; Zheng, G.; Yang, Y.; McDowell, M.T.; Hsu, P.-C.; Cui, Y. Sulphur-TiO₂ yolk-shell nanoarchitecture with internal void space for long-cycle lithium-sulphur batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1331. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
138. Song, X.; Gao, T.; Wang, S.; Bao, Y.; Chen, G.; Ding, L.-X.; Wang, H. Free-standing sulfur host based on titanium-dioxide-modified porous-carbon nanofibers for lithium-sulfur batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2017**, *356*, 172–180. [[CrossRef](#)]
139. Liang, G.; Wu, J.; Qin, X.; Liu, M.; Li, Q.; He, Y.-B.; Kim, J.-K.; Li, B.; Kang, F. Ultrafine TiO₂ decorated carbon nanofibers as multifunctional interlayer for high-performance lithium-sulfur battery. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 23105–23113. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
140. Xiao, Z.; Yang, Z.; Wang, L.; Nie, H.; Zhong, M.; Lai, Q.; Xu, X.; Zhang, L.; Huang, S. A lightweight TiO₂/graphene interlayer, applied as a highly effective polysulfide absorbent for fast, long-life lithium-sulfur batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 2891–2898. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
141. Mei, S.; Jafta, C.J.; Lauermann, I.; Ran, Q.; Kärge, M.; Ballauff, M.; Lu, Y. Porous Ti₄O₇ particles with interconnected-pore structure as a high-efficiency polysulfide mediator for lithium-sulfur batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2017**, *27*, 1701176. [[CrossRef](#)]
142. Zhao, Y.; Zhu, W.; Chen, G.Z.; Cairns, E.J. Polypyrrole/TiO₂ nanotube arrays with coaxial heterogeneous structure as sulfur hosts for lithium sulfur batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2016**, *327*, 447–456. [[CrossRef](#)]
143. Huang, J.-Q.; Wang, Z.; Xu, Z.-L.; Chong, W.G.; Qin, X.; Wang, X.; Kim, J.-K. Three-dimensional porous graphene aerogel cathode with high sulfur loading and embedded TiO₂ nanoparticles for advanced lithium-sulfur batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 28663–28670. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
144. Zhou, G.; Zhao, Y.; Zu, C.; Manthiram, A. Free-standing TiO₂ nanowire-embedded graphene hybrid membrane for advanced Li/dissolved polysulfide batteries. *Nano Energy* **2015**, *12*, 240–249. [[CrossRef](#)]
145. Ding, B.; Xu, G.; Shen, L.; Nie, P.; Hu, P.; Dou, H.; Zhang, X. Fabrication of a sandwich structured electrode for high-performance lithium-sulfur batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 14280–14285. [[CrossRef](#)]
146. Zhang, H.; Banfield, J.F. Structural Characteristics and mechanical and thermodynamic properties of nanocrystalline TiO₂. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9613–9644. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
147. Tayade, R.J.; Kulkarni, R.G.; Jasra, R.V. Photocatalytic degradation of aqueous nitrobenzene by nanocrystalline TiO₂. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2006**, *45*, 922–927. [[CrossRef](#)]
148. Wagner, J.B.; Cavalca, F.C.; Damsgaard, C.D.; Duchstein, L.D.L.; Hansen, T.W.; Renu Sharma, P.A.C. Exploring the environmental transmission electron microscope. *Micron* **2012**, *43*, 1169–1175. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
149. Yuan, W.; Wang, Y.; Li, H.; Wu, H.; Zhang, Z.; Selloni, A.; Sun, C. Real-time observation of reconstruction Dynamics on TiO₂(001) surface under oxygen via an environmental transmission electron microscope. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 132–137. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

